International Journal of Human Research and Social Science Studies

ISSN(p): 3050-547X, ISSN(e): 3050-5488

Volume 02 Issue 04 April, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55677/ijhrsss/08-2025-Vol02I4

Page No: 178-200



Addressing the Elephant in The Room: The Impact of Using Artificial Intelligence in Education

Matt Daniel C. Bernal¹, Gabriel A. Bunhayag², Darryn Stacy D. Loyola³, Jhaffney C. Tisado⁴, Genesis G. Genelza*⁵

^{1,2,3,4,5} University of Mindanao Tagum College, Philippines Basic Education – Junior High School Department

ABSTRACT: Artificial intelligence is eminent and useful in a world where everything has been digitalized. AI has surfaced numerous times in business, healthcare, services, and even the building sector. More importantly, it has promised increased productivity, enhanced security, and improved project management skills in education and academics, particularly for students with tons of unfinished work. This systematic literature review addressed the impact of using artificial intelligence in education. The review gathered four thematic points and features of AI in education: Personalizing Learning Experiences with Tailored Instructions to Individual Needs, Creating Ethical Dilemmas in AI-driven Education, Comprising Content Accuracy and Academic Authenticity, and Enabling Academic Dishonesty through AI tools. To be able to address the issues in AI, students must always act with an open mind. Hence, in the case of academic dishonesty, there must be open communication with students and teachers about how AI is used in the learning process. Encouraging discussions about the benefits and limitations of AI in education is paramount.

Corresponding Author: Genesis G. Genelza

Orcid: 0000-0001-5577-7480

KEYWORDS:

AI Tools, Artificial Intelligence, Education, Philippines, Review

INTRODUCTION

People and society have become increasingly dependent on artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in the modern day. AI could lead to a time when all people live happy, fulfilling lives. It also carries significant risks of persecution and disaster. In recent years, debates over whether or not we should (re)trust AI have surfaced numerous times and in various contexts, including business, academics, healthcare, services, and so on (Lu Cheng et al., 2021). The negative use of AI somehow spreads misinformation through deepfakes, fake news, bias, discrimination, and privacy violations through data surveillance, which could also harm the quality of education in schools. With proper regulation and ethical oversight, AI misuse can have serious social, economic, and security consequences, especially in education.

Globally, according to Wu, Huang, and Gong (2020), Artificial intelligence (AI) is becoming more and more integrated into daily life and is profoundly influencing the very structure of contemporary society as a result of the quick development and use of a new generation of AI algorithms and products. Specifically, AI algorithms and models have been widely used in various decision-making contexts, including financial lending, traffic control, criminal justice, and medical diagnosis. Safety and fairness are just two of the many possible concerns brought about by the growing use of AI-based automatic decision-making systems. An additional example is intelligent justice, where AI algorithms determine whether to allow parole for a prisoner based on behavioral traits. Such an algorithm has been accused of making unfair and discriminatory conclusions depending on cultural background and ethnicity. An artificial intelligence (AI)-based digital lending algorithm may deny loan applications in the financial sector due to biased assessment. Industry, academia, and government organizations have all realized that adequate steps must be taken to reduce the hazards associated with AI and that the safety and governance of AI applications are a growingly significant concern to society (Genelza, 2024).

In addition, the building sector is vital to economic growth everywhere, including in the Philippines. It is essential to expanding infrastructure and jobs (Siman, 2023). As this industry negotiates, the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies stands out as a solution to the challenges of modernization—Possibilities for transformation (Bang & Olsson, 2022). AI promised

increased productivity, enhanced security, and improved project management skills, which greatly helped the Philippine building industry. (Korke et al., 2023) landscape. However, the Philippine construction sector has to contend with issues such as restricted legal obstacles, a lack of qualified workers, and technological infrastructure (Dimaculangan, 2023, p. 462) (Subia and others, 2019; Santos et al., 2022). It is crucial to comprehend the Philippines' present AI adoption situation, recognize these obstacles, and create methods to overcome them.

According to the study of Fidinansyah (2024), where he analyzes the contribution of artificial intelligence (AI) on English language training at the University of Mindanao's Digos College, the study examines how University of Mindanao students apply AI in several facets of their instruction. The initial research question (RQ1) examined how pupils use artificial intelligence to learn English. RQ2, the second research question, looks into how AI supports students in their everyday lives and learning processes. Fostered English proficiency was recognized as the third research question (RO3) due to the application of AI to everyday tasks and English language instruction. The process of gathering data included a combination of qualitative techniques, such as organized observations in the classroom and interviews with 10 students from 14 to 44 years of the Department of Teaching English (DTE). The information gathered was examined using a case study to identify patterns and Issues connected to the incorporation of AI in English teaching. Data triangulation was utilized to cross-check data from several sources. The research demonstrates AI's beneficial contributions to education and encourages developments for incorporating AI into teaching methods.

Furthermore, the study is grounded in the theory of dynamics by Pitrat (1992), which states that AI has a positive and negative impact on society concerning the progress in cognitive science. He makes the case for applying the findings of the artificial intelligence theory to cognitive science research as he believes that research on Al will make it easier or difficult to examine natural intelligence from a fresh perspective. Keeping with this idea, one can hope that the theory of teaching may advance if AI theory's accomplishments are considered.

Schank (1993) also expresses a viewpoint similar to Slade's (1991), considering that the examination of education in the context of the impact of artificial intelligence will be substantial on education, science, and technology. The experience demonstrates how beneficial this notion is. Contributions to the theory and practice of systems that process natural language (NLPSs) and the cognitive. They could view the challenges of teaching from a different perspective thanks to psychology and cognitive linguistics.

Researchers have seen many studies about artificial intelligence. However, the researchers did not find any research about how AI can affect education. The use of AI can have both good and devastating effects on society. For instance, the use of ChatGPT in public and private schools has made them rely too much on AI, giving essays, homework, quizzes, etc., without realizing that the information can be false. Students lack consciousness of what is wrong and what is morally right. They care about passing a requirement easily and effortlessly, not concerned about how it may affect them in the future. The topic is important because AI has been relevant recently, causing us to depend on it. Like all technology, AI has flaws, from privacy concerns to data breaches. These problems can influence our lives in the worst possible ways. Hence, this study would like to comprehend how AI can influence different aspects of our lives and the importance of awareness in using AI.

This study aligns with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4 – Quality Education, which emphasizes giving everyone access to high-quality, inclusive education. Breaking the cycle of poverty and advancing social and economic progress requires education. People who receive high-quality education are better equipped to thrive in life, enhancing employment opportunities and fostering creativity. This objective emphasizes the value of lifelong learning and making sure that underprivile groups, such as girls, children with disabilities, and rural communities, have equitable access to education.

METHOD

Research Design

In order to address the topic of how AI can impact society, this research article used a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) as a qualitative methodology. A systematic literature review is a deliberate and methodical approach to finding, assessing, and summarizing prior research pertinent to a given topic or investigation. A thorough search was conducted across several databases, scholarly journals, books, and other sources to locate all relevant research on the subject of interest. The criteria should be fully defined before the study, and the plan or methodology of the systematic review should be clearly stated. Other researchers can use a variety of databases and grey literature sources to duplicate this thorough and transparent search. This entails organizing a comprehensive search strategy and strongly emphasizing responding to a specific question (Dewey & Drahota, 2016).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the results drawn from the selected papers, the following statements and credible information should be emphasized in this paper, as shown in Table 1.

This review attained four thematic points and features of AI in education: *Personalizing Learning Experiences with Tailored Instructions to Individual Needs, Creating Ethical Dilemmas in AI-driven Education, Comprising Content Accuracy and Academic Authenticity, and Enabling Academic Dishonesty through AI tools.* One of the advantages of AI is that it can help you improve your learning experience without sacrificing your time and effort. While AI can help you with your studies, it does not mean you will not face any dilemmas. For starters, it can contribute to your mental health problems like stress, losing motivation, etc. Students should learn how to properly handle AI when receiving information from it because it may cause inaccuracy and unreliability. Furthermore, the negative ways of using AI in education include, for example, overreliance, autonomy risk, etc. This can cause more harm than good because it affects your standing as a student and person.

Personalizing Learning Experiences with Tailored Instructions to Individual's Needs

The first theme generated during the data gathering is *Personalizing Learning Experiences with Tailored Instructions to Individual Needs*, which means that artificial intelligence personalizes education by customizing lessons to each student's unique needs, learning style, and strengths. In order to suggest resources, modify the degree of difficulty, and offer customized feedback, machine learning algorithms examine student data. This individualized strategy improves academic performance, boosts student engagement, and promotes learning effectiveness. However, algorithm bias, teacher preparation, and data privacy must be handled carefully. Notwithstanding these challenges, AI-driven education could revolutionize learning by making it more accessible and inclusive.

Furthermore, this was supported by Fitria (2021), who said that Artificial Intelligence is a technology that makes complex human life easier by allowing machines to learn and comprehend logic like humans. It learns autonomously by combining data, sophisticated algorithms, and iterative processing. Machine learning, neural networks, cognitive computing, computer vision, and scientific language processing are all areas of research within the broad topic of Artificial Intelligence. It is becoming increasingly apparent in education, changing the science, math, engineering, and technology curricula. Genelza (2024) also stated that AI can help educators perform their jobs more efficiently, allowing them to better understand their students' requirements and create individualized learning opportunities.

In addition, Božić (2023) stated that digital tools in primary school education are increasingly being used to enhance learning experiences. Artificial Intelligence can provide personalized learning based on individual needs and strengths. AI can analyze learning patterns, offer personalized recommendations, and automate tasks like grading. This saves teachers time and allows them to focus on other teaching aspects. However, careful monitoring and regulation are necessary to ensure ethical and responsible use.

With this study, AI can adapt to education by teaching lessons to students' needs, improving their status and grades, or even achieving their goals most easily. While we know that AI can enhance learning through its highest limitations and analysis, it must be of concern to others. Nowadays, dozens of kids use Digital tools like ChatGPT by providing them answers on each task. AI can improve learning environments and make them more inclusive if educators properly regulate and support them.

Creating Ethical Dilemmas in an AI-driven Education

The second theme generated during the data gathering is *Creating Ethical Dilemmas in an AI-driven Education*. This means the student's usage of AI can affect them psychologically, like the lack of motivation to learn and lessen their academic success using AI as an educational system. Students may also develop anxiety using AI as their guide to their academic performances and the fear of failing their school requirements due to using AI tools in their assignments or essays. This negatively affects the student's trust in their work, causing them to feel left behind on their lessons or over-rely on using AI tools, making them lack the motivation to work or study the lesson.

The theme was supported by Naseer, Ahmad, and Chishti (2025), who stated that increased emotional stress has been associated with using AI in professional and educational contexts, especially regarding grading and decision-making. The pressure to trust AI systems while still taking accountability for their correctness adds to this tension. Additionally, AI may reduce attention span because users have less time to focus on intricate or creative work. This is especially true in educational settings where educators must balance critical thinking and their students' requirements.

Besides, Velastegui et al. (2023) stated that various psychological risks are associated with reliance on Al technologies. The main worry is the possibility of less human contact as Al assumes greater responsibility for the education process. Furthermore, the ongoing surveillance and data collection capabilities of Al tools may cause privacy issues and the anxiety of being watched all the time, which can be psychologically disturbing for pupils. Additionally, some Al applications' predictive features may categorize students into particular learning pathways, limiting their exposure to a wider range of subjects and possibly inhibiting their capacity for critical thought and creativity by encouraging an overly limited focus on anticipated advantages or disadvantages. Al can improve educational experiences by promoting individualized learning. It is crucial to continue to be aware of the psychological effects of adaptive learning. Institutions need to put into practice Al tools carefully, considering their wide-ranging effects on students' well-being. Making sure Al helps instead of impeding the growth of vital life skills like self-control, fortitude, and interpersonal skills for students to develop holistically and communicate is essential.

Thus, AI can be a good tool to help students' psychological states, but we cannot avoid the risk of AI being bad for students. AI psychologically affects the students' study. Based on the data we collected, students who use AI had anxiety, like being watched, and the fear of failing. Our data also showed that students using AI in their education reduced their attention span. This also negatively affects the students' trust in their studies because AI cannot give the exact answer it gave. This also restricts students' exposure to various topics and potentially stifles their ability to think critically and creatively.

Compromising Content Accuracy and Academic Authenticity

The third theme generated during the data gathering is *Comprising Content Accuracy and Academic Authenticity*. AI can benefit students by increasing their knowledge and improving their cognition. This does not come without an issue; you can generate text in seconds using AI like ChatGPT, which may be good. This does not change the fact that it failed to credit its authors or property cites its sources, increasing the student's potential to commit plagiarism. Plagiarism is when someone uses another person's work, ideas, or information without giving credit. It is considered a form of academic misconduct and intellectual theft.

Also, this was supported by Jarrah, Wardat, and Fidalgo (2023), who stated that using Al-generated writing in academia raises ethical concerns and moral dilemmas. The reliance on Al can undermine student learning evaluation and diminish a degree's value. Bayne (2018) demonstrates that excessive dependence on Al may lead to inadequate comprehension of the material, resulting in unpreparedness for subsequent assignments. Additionally, Al can facilitate plagiarism and cheating. Compromising the integrity of the learning environment (David, 2023; Qasem, 2023). This is just one of its many issues. Another problem that AI seems to forget is how accurate and dated its information is, making people double-check the answers they received from AI compared to credible sources. This problem will always remain prevalent, especially when more and more people discover new things and disprove theories.

Still, Sallam (2023) reviewed 60 articles on ChatGPT in healthcare, medical education, and academia. He found various concerns across the studies, from plagiarism to incorrect responses and inaccurate citations. Therefore, the implications of ChatGPT-assisted learning require immediate attention to ensure its benefits are optimized while its drawbacks are minimized.

Consequently, the researchers would like you to consider that AI may not be a credible and reliable source of information. It is always good to double-check and proofread the information that ChatGPT has given you, especially when you know that many studies and discoveries have debunked this information. Lastly, never forget to cite the sources and give the authors the credit they deserve for giving you an authentic piece of published and peer-reviewed information.

Enabling Academic Dishonesty through AI Tools

The fourth theme generated during the data gathering is *Enabling Academic Dishonesty through AI Tools*. It can be interpreted that in modern society, as AI evolves, the use of AI tools in education starts to rise because of the fast and efficient ways it can give to its learners and educators, but, despite that, there are also negative effects alongside it. As students and teachers continue to use AI, they might be unaware that some of the information that AI has given them might be misinformation, discrimination, and autonomy risk while using AI tools without being mindful of its contents and information, leading to students submitting dishonest work and teachers possibly teaching or spreading wrong information.

Likewise, this was supported by Mohammadkarimi (2023), who said that the definition of academic dishonesty includes any cheating or unethical classroom conduct that violates equity and the value of honesty (Sevimel-Sahin, 2023, p. 308). With the development of AI, students now have access to many resources for producing authentic-looking assignments with minimal work (Crawford et al., 2023). In order to help students avoid and deal with academic dishonesty in their work, teachers play a crucial role in maintaining the integrity and standards of the educational system, which depends on their capacity to identify instances of

plagiarism or cheating. However, it is now more challenging for educators to consistently identify instances of academic dishonesty due to the development of powerful AI tools. Because students can alter AI-generated content to look like their work, teachers may find it difficult to distinguish between real effort and fraud (Farrokhnia et al., 2023; Sullivan et al., 2023).

In addition, Basha (2024) stated that over-reliance on AI could lead to the loss of critical thinking skills and traditional skills like math and handwriting. Genelza (2024) mentioned that addressing ethical concerns like privacy and data misuse is crucial; biases and errors in AI systems may affect students' cognitive development and academic performance.

Accordingly, with the researchers' studies mentioned in this paper, we should be more aware and considerate in using AI tools in education as it should only be used as a tool to aid in learning and not as a way to submit school requirements easily as it can have negative impacts in the future. Students should also be morally aware that it is important to learn and understand the lessons and activities given by their teachers to hone their skills and value honesty, which may be helpful in their future careers and opportunities.

Table 1: List of literature on using Artificial Intelligence in Education

AUTHORS	TITLE OF	LOCAL	METHO	RESULTS	RECOMMENDATIO	THEMES
	THE STUDY	E	D	AND	NS	
				DISCUSSION		
Dieterle, Dede,	The cyclical	London	Quantitati	Digital tools and	This section highlights	Personalizin
and Walker	ethical effects		ve	learning	how AI-driven	g Learning
(2024).	of using			platforms are	education has the	Experience
	artificial			widely used in	potential to enhance	with Tailored
	intelligence			education,	lifelong learning and	Instructions
	in education.			generating vast	life outcomes by	to Individual
				amounts of	increasing the efficacy	Needs.
				multimodal data	and transformative	
				from various	power of education.	
				sources. AI	Although AI can	
				techniques like	improve traditional	
				machine	teaching methods, its	
				learning,	greatest benefit is	
				computer	opening up fresh and	
				vision, and	creative learning	
				natural language	methods. Education	
				processing can	from the industrial age	
				analyze this data	must give way to	
				to enhance	systems that give pupils	
				instruction,	cutting-edge	
				predict learning	information and	
				outcomes, and	abilities for a changing	
				automate	digital world. Similar to	
				decisions.	the significant cultural	
				However, using	transformations of the	
				AI in education	early 20th century, this	
				raises complex	transformation is urgent	
				ethical concerns	due to global issues like	
				about data	economic instability,	
				generation,	climate change, and	
				analysis, and		
(2.2.2.)				interpretation.	advancements.	
Harry (2023).	Role of AI in	Usa,	Qualitative	Personalized	AI can automate	
	Education.	washingto		learning driven	assessment and grading	
		n DC		by AI adapts	procedures, giving	
				learning	pupils immediate	
				experiences to	feedback and saving	

each student's teachers time.	
unique needs, Examples include	
learning machine learning	
preferences, and techniques and natural	
strengths. AI language processing-	
evaluates based automated essay	
student data grading systems. AI in	
using machine education provides	
learning greater data analysis,	
algorithms to efficiency, student	
make resource engagement, and	
recommendatio individualized	
ns, modify the instruction. However,	
level of some issues and	
instruction, and problems must be	
offer resolved.	
personalized resolved.	
feedback, all of	
which improve	
student	
engagement and	
academic	
achievement.	
Duolingo and	
Carnegie	
Learning are	
two AI-based	
programs that have shown	
promise in raising student	
achievement.	
Nevertheless,	
ensuring data	
_	
accuracy and training teachers	
for successful	
implementation are obstacles.	
Notwithstandin	
g these challenges, AI-	
driven	
personalized	
1	
transforming education by	
*	
providing	
tailored,	
adaptive support	
that improves	
student	
achievement in	

	T	<u> </u>	1	vorious lasi		
				various learning environments.		
Barakina,	Digital	Russia	Quantitati	Teachers are	Considering all of the	
Popova,	Technologies	Kussia	ve	essential in	information presented	
Gorokhova,	and Artificial			introducing	above, we can say that	
and	Intelligence			these	when attempting to	
Voskovskaya	Technologies in			technologies,	integrate artificial	
(2021).	Education.			and Russian and	intelligence (AI) and	
(2021).	Education.			international	other digital technology	
				researchers are	into our daily lives and	
				investigating	education. Specifically,	
				AI's potential in	it should be	
				education. The	remembered that these	
				education	technologies are merely	
				system is	instruments intended to	
				progressively	enhance the quality of	
				evolving, and	the learning experience	
				UNESCO	and how each	
				publications	participant interacts	
				keep an eye on	with the others.	
				the field. Neural	However, it is also	
				networks,	essential to consider	
				virtual tools, and	that these resources are	
				machine	new, and neither	
				learning	teachers nor students	
				algorithms are	fully grasp them.	
				used by nations	Moreover, as a result,	
				such as China,	they have not yet earned	
				Latin America,	the degree of trust	
				Uruguay, Brazil,	(4.2%) for their	
				and the United	successful	
				Arab Emirates.	use, even if their	
				UNICEF	dependability and	
				Innovation is	safety in operation are	
				researching a	carefully verified.	
				Memorandum		
				on AI, the		
				Rights of the		
				Child, and deep		
				learning		
				algorithms.		
Fitria (2021).	ARTIFICIAL	Indonesia	Qualitative	Artificial	Learning activities can	
	INTELLIGENC			Intelligence (AI)	be conducted at any	
	E (AI) IN			is a technology	time using artificial	
	EDUCATION:			that makes	intelligence. AI-based	
	USING AI			complex human	apps offer chances to	
	TOOLS FOR			life easier by	learn anytime and from	
	TEACHING AND			allowing machines to	any location without time and space	
	LEARNING				time and space constraints.	
	PROCESS					
	FROCESS			comprehend logic like	Additionally, students can locate instructors	
				_	outside of the	
				humans. It	school's teachers. Given	
				autonomously	this platform for online	

				by combining data, sophisticated algorithms, and iterative processing. Machine learning, neural networks, cognitive computing, computer vision, and scientific language processing are all areas of research within the broad topic of artificial intelligence. It is becoming increasingly apparent in education, changing the science, math, engineering, and technology	learning and the availability of "teachers," students can select from, and they can interact with other educators, even with foreign instructors. Students' learning experiences and skills will undoubtedly be capable of better development. With AI being used in schooling, it is really helpful that the online mode has been made mandatory for the learning process, just like during the pandemic.	
Limma, Jakwatanatha m, Siripipattanak ul, Kaewpuang, Sriboonruang (2022).	A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education during the Digital Era.	Thailand	Qualitative	allowing them to understand their students' requirements better and create individualized learning opportunities. AI in education has revolutionized learning analytics, data visualization, and statistical reasoning, offering personalized advice and streamlining teaching	Future AI-driven educational strategies may include online questionnaires for better explanations and findings, enabling school administrators, teachers, and students to implement effective strategies.	

	T	T	1			1
Owan, Abang, Idika, Etta, and Bassey (2023).	Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence tools in educational measurement and assessment.	Nigeria	Qualitative	processes. However, less experienced teachers struggle with AI-enabled tools. Increasing instructors' acceptance of AI technologies is crucial to overcome this, as AI technology opens up new possibilities for technology- enhanced learning applications and more efficient activities. Artificial intelligence is transforming education by improving teaching, learning, and evaluation. As data and machine learning algorithms become more widely available, artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to significantly impact student motivation, engagement, anxiety reduction, result prediction, and academic success. The use of AI technologies in educational measurement anxiety reduction, result prediction, and academic success. The use of AI technologies in educational measurement anxiety reduction, result prediction, and academic success. The use of AI technologies in educational measurement anxiety reduction, result prediction, and academic success. The use of AI technologies in educational measurement anxiety reduction, result prediction, and academic success. The use of AI technologies in educational measurement anxiety reduction, result prediction, and academic success. The use of AI technologies in educational measurement	Teachers can concentrate on meaningful interactions and recognizing students' strengths and weaknesses using AI-based evaluation, which provides accurate, objective, and quick grading. However, since algorithms can be biased and students could feel uneasy about machine evaluations, it should not take the place of human judgment. A more equitable and efficient assessment system must include human input, frequent evaluation, and data protection to guarantee ethical use.	Creating Ethical Dilemmas in an AI-driven Education.

				section, with	
				particular	
				applications of	
				AI in education	
				highlighted.	
Naseer,	Psychological		Quantitati	The use of AI in	The study suggests the
Ahmad, and	Impacts of AI		ve	professional and	need for AI tools to
Chishti (2025).	Dependence:			educational	reduce cognitive
, ,	Assessing the			settings has	overload and emotional
	Cognitive and			been linked to	strain. Adaptive AI
	Emotional			increased	systems that adapt to
	Costs of			emotional	user skills and
	Intelligent			stress,	preferences can help
	Systems in Daily			particularly	reduce stress. AI-based
	Life.			•	recommendations
	LHE.			regarding decision-	
					should ease anxiety, and
				making and	feedback loops should
				grading. This	allow users to fine-tune
				stress is	their applications and
				heightened due	reduce emotional
				to the pressure	dependency.
				to trust AI	
				systems but	
				maintain	
				responsibility	
				for their	
				accuracy.	
				Additionally,	
				using AI can	
				decrease	
				attention span,	
				as users have	
				less time to	
				concentrate on	
				complex or	
				creative tasks.	
				This is	
				particularly	
				evident in	
				learning	
				institutions	
				where teachers	
				must balance	
				their diverse	
				client needs	
				with critical	
				thinking.	
LE (2024).	The Influences	Vietnam	Qualitative	The study shows	The study looked at
- (- ~ - ·)·	of AI-Enhanced			that AI-	how 138 non-English
	Learning on			enhanced	majors' motivation in
	Student			learning in	teaching English as a
	Engagement in			English in	foreign language was
	English Classes.			instruction	affected by AI-based
	English Classes.				•
				positively	U
				impacts student	demonstrated that AI

		involvement in	improved
		behavioral,	psychological,
		cognitive, and	cognitive, and
		emotional	behavioral
		domains. AI-	involvement. Self-
		based	reported replies, a wide
		technologies	ethnic sample, potential
		encourage more	biases, and the
		frequent	possibility of quick
		practice	technical breakthroughs
		opportunities	were some of the study's
		and timely task	drawbacks.
		completion.	Furthermore, the study
		Nevertheless,	concentrated on how
		the study also	students' dedication to
		discovered that	their studies affected
		using AI-	
		generated	their learning experience immediately
		feedback has	rather than how it
		care omissions.	affected them
		Cognitive	subsequently.
		interactions	subsequentry.
		enhance	
		comprehension	
		and problem-	
		solving abilities;	
		real-time	
		feedback helps	
		with fluency. AI	
		also makes	
		learning fun by	
		lowering	
		boredom,	
		frustration, and	
		bullying.	
		However, the	
		modest degree	
		of confidence	
		indicates that	
		additional	
		intervention is	
		required to	
		improve skills.	
		Students should	
		be provided	
		pertinent	
		feedback and	
		encouraged to	
		engage in	
		interactive	
		activities since	
		they have high	
		expectations for	
		integrating AI	
		into their future	
	i .		

	1	ı				
Lin and Chen (2024).	Artificial intelligence (AI)	China	Qualitative	education. Despite these advantages, there are concerns regarding AI's capacity to lower learning obstacles. Enjoyment, despair,	AI applications improve student engagement,	
	-integrated educational applications and college students' creativity and academic emotions: students and teachers' perceptions and attitudes.			boredom, worry, rage, pride, and other academic emotions are all important components of teaching and learning. These feelings fall into three categories: high-arousal, negative, and positive. Emotions of achievement, like fear of failing, are also important. The causal relationship between students' academic emotions and accomplishment s has been investigated empirically.	motivation, and problem-solving abilities. They offer gamified components for mental well-being, emotional support, and tailored feedback. They support educational equity, encourage collaborative innovation, and offer easily available tools for lifelong learning. These flexible learning pathways enhance both academic achievement and emotional health.	
Hanson, Okonkwo, & Orakwe, (2024).	Implementing AI-Enhanced Learning Analytics to Improve Educational Outcomes Using Psychological Insights.	Nigeria	Qualitative	Combining AI- powered learning analytics with insights from psychology provides a transformative strategy for enhancing academic results. AI- powered solutions have shown themselves to be	Teachers and legislators must take a calculated approach to employ AI-enhanced learning analytics and psychological insights fully. This entails eliminating prejudice in AI algorithms, guaranteeing the ethical application of AI systems, and providing educators with the required training. In order to meet the varied needs of their students,	

Ju (2023).	Experimental Evidence on	USA	Quantitati	quite successful in delivering real-time datadriven insights, tailoring educational experiences, and attending to students' individual needs. By adding psychological concepts like self-regulation, cognitive load, and motivation into AI systems, instructors can create learning settings that are more flexible, efficient, and interesting for kids.	teachers should be assisted in utilizing AI as an adjunct to conventional teaching techniques. It is necessary to have a balanced approach where AI improves learning opportunities without undervaluing teacher-student interactions.	Compromisi ng Content
	Negative Impact of Generative AI on Scientific Learning Outcomes (A Pilot Research).		Ve	generative AI has been investigated, and research has indicated that Taiwan and	chatGPT can improve learning experiences but may decrease overall correctness. Active groups with strong manual writing skills, native English speakers, and master's degrees generally achieve the largest correctness increase using AI.	and Academic Authenticity.

Particularly when students do not interact with their reading material, AI- driven systems may present believable yet unreliable information. Students may be more prone to
do not interact with their reading material, AI- driven systems may present believable yet unreliable information. Students may be
with their reading material, AI-driven systems may present believable yet unreliable information. Students may be
reading material, AI- driven systems may present believable yet unreliable information. Students may be
material, AI- driven systems may present believable yet unreliable information. Students may be
driven systems may present believable yet unreliable information. Students may be
may present believable yet unreliable information. Students may be
believable yet unreliable information. Students may be
unreliable information. Students may be
unreliable information. Students may be
Students may be
Students may be
believing
misleading
information and
detract from the
intended
cognitive
cognitive consequences if
they do not
master the
master the material via
diligent study
and
involvement.
AlAfnan, ChatGPT as an Kuwait Quantitati According to the It is recommended that
Dishari, Jovic, Educational ve study, ChatGPT teachers update their
and Lomidze Tool: may make it take-home tests and
(2023). Opportunities, more difficult to rubrics by including
Challenges, and quantify student-generated
Recommendatio learning results content and offering
ns for and distinguish comprehensive
Communication, between careful instructions. Since
Business students and ChatGPT is still in its
Writing, and those who rely infancy, more research
Composition on automation. is advised to
Courses. It advises comprehend its
teachers to advantages and
provide students disadvantages fully for
with thorough teachers and students.
case-based and
scenario-based
assignments that
promote critical,
imaginative and
creative
thinking rather
than theory-
than theory- based questions
based questions
based questions for take-home
based questions for take-home examinations.

	T	Т			·
				ChatGPT-	
				generated	
				comments for	
				discussion in	
				workshops and	
				submitting all	
				evaluations on	
				plagiarism	
				detection	
				software,	
				particularly for	
				writing courses.	
Tlili, Shehata,	What if the devil	Australia	Qualitative	This research	This study examines
Adarkwah,	is my guardian			offered a strong	ChatGPT, an AI chatbot
Bozkurt,	angel?			foundation for	developed by OpenAI
Hickey, Huang,	ChatGPT as a			bringing to light	in education. In three
Agyemang	case study of			the issues	stages, the research
(2023).	using chatbots			surrounding	reveals positive public
(-v = 0)•	in education.			using chatbots,	discourse, concerns
	m caucation.			particularly	about educational
				ChatGPT, in the	transformation, and
				classroom	, and the second
					issues like cheating,
				among those	honesty, misleading
				who adopted	privacy, and
				early. One-step	manipulation. The
				research could	findings provide
				be the main	research directions for a
				focus of future	safe and responsible
				studies. Moving	adoption of ChatGPT in
				forward, we can	education, highlighting
				use ChatGPT in	the need to consider
				instructional	user experiences and
				strategies and	ethical considerations
				look into how, in	carefully.
				addition to the	,
				improvements	
				and results	
				provided to the	
				education field,	
				human tutors	
				and machines	
				(ChatGPT)	
				could	
				collaborate to	
				accomplish an	
				educational	
				goal.	
Williamson,	Time for a	USA	Qualitative	Although AI	School administrators
Molnar,	Pause: Without			applications in	should hold off on using
Boninger	Effective Public			schools are	AI applications until
(2024).	Oversight, AI in			promoted as	lawmakers have had a
	Schools Will Do			answers to	chance to educate
	More			difficulties with	themselves on the
	Harm Than			teaching	technology fully and
	Good.			learning and	have drafted laws and
	Joou.			rearming and	nave matted laws and

,						1
				administrative	regulations that will	
				procedures, they	allow for efficient	
				come with risks,	public monitoring and	
				concerns, and	control.	
				restrictions.		
				Potential		
				advantages are		
				less important		
				than potential		
				drawbacks when		
				AI is used in		
				schools since it		
				increases the		
				possibility of		
				problems being		
				replicated or		
				worsened.		
Hasanein and	Drivers and	Saudi	Qualitative	ChatGPT has	It is recommended that	
Sobaih (2023).	Consequences	Arabia	-	drawn interest	teachers update their	
()/-	of ChatGPT Use	and Egypt		from higher	take-home tests and	
	in Higher			education	rubrics by including	
	Education: Key			stakeholders	student-generated	
	Stakeholder				_	
				because of its	content and providing	
	Perspectives.			potential for	comprehensive	
				teaching,	instructions.	
				learning, and	Since ChatGPT is still	
				student support.	in its infancy, more	
				Quick response,	studies are advised to	
				ease of use,	fully comprehend its	
				classroom	advantages and	
				support,	disadvantages for	
				problem-	teachers and students.	
				solving, data		
				analysis,		
				concept		
				_		
				clarification,		
				adaptive		
				learning,		
				assessment		
				activities, and		
				additional		
				learning		
				materials are		
				among the		
				twelve main		
				factors		
				identified by the		
				study as		
				motivating the		
				usage of		
				ChatGPT in		
				educational		
				settings. Test		
				preparation,		
				research		
			l		<u> </u>	l

				aggigtoman and		
				assistance, and		
				language editing		
				and		
				proofreading are		
				other		
				motivators. The		
				most frequent		
				motivators are		
				speed and ease		
				_		
				,		
				students are also		
				drawn to		
				ChatGPT for		
				adaptive		
				learning,		
				problem-		
				solving, and		
				data analysis.		
				With its		
				constructivist		
				methodology,		
				ChatGPT		
				enhances		
				learning by		
				providing		
				individualized		
				instruction.		
				Additionally, it		
				provides a		
				transformative		
				method for data		
				analysis and		
				problem-solving		
				consistent with		
				constructivist		
				learning theory.		
Pasha (2024)	The Negative	India	Qualitative		The possible	Enabling
Basha (2024).	_	mula	Qualitative	0	1	_
	Impacts of AI			usage of AI tools	advantages and	Academic
	Tools on			in education	disadvantages of AI	Dishonesty
	Students in			negatively	tools for students'	through AI
	Academic			impacts	academic and real-	tools.
	and Real-Life			students'	world performance are	
	Performance.			academic	covered in the article.	
				performance.	Although AI increases	
				Overreliance on	productivity and	
				AI may result in	provides individualized	
				•		
				losing	learning experiences, it	
				traditional skills	can also impair critical	
				like math,	thinking, creativity, and	
				penmanship,	problem-solving	
				and critical	abilities. In order to	
				thinking	encourage well-	
				abilities. It is	rounded development,	
				important to	legislators, parents, and	
				address ethical	educators must	
	1			audicss cillical	caucators must	

				issues like data	acknowledge these	1
				misuse and	constraints and enact	
					rules.	
				privacy. Students'	Tules.	
				academic		
				performance		
				and cognitive		
				development		
				may be		
				impacted by		
				biases and		
				mistakes in AI		
				systems.		
				Promoting the		
				usage of AI can		
				help allay these		
				worries and		
				protect the		
				rights and		
				welfare of		
				students. For an		
				academic		
				atmosphere to		
				be holistic, these		
				issues must be		
				addressed.		
Seo, Tang, Roll,	The impact of	South	Quantitati	AI analytics can	The study suggests that	
Fels and Yoon	artificial	Korea	ve	assist teachers in	AI systems should	
(2021).	intelligence			understanding	ensure explainability,	
	on learner–			the performance	human-in-the-loop, and	
	instructor			and potential of	careful data collection	
	interaction in			their students.	to minimize negative	
	online			However,	impacts on learner-	
	learning.			instructors and	instructor interaction. It	
				students may	suggests that AI	
				view AI's effects	systems and humans	
				negatively,	will work closely	
				citing	together in online	
				discriminatory	learning but with	
				practices and	consideration of	
				privacy	perceived advantages	
				violations. In	and disadvantages.	
				addition to		
				advocating for		
				greater		
				participation in		
				communication		
				and educational		
				applications		
				outside of the		
				classroom, the		
				AI in education		
				group is		
				investigating the		
i	i e	1				1
				effects of AI		

Guilherme (2019). Cauchter and student relations. Examining the large and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E-Learning Students' and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E-Learning Students' Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Evel. Ev		T	ı	1		
Almaiah, Alfaisal, Salloum, Hajjej, Thabit, El-Qirem, and Al-Marof (2022). Anxiety in E-Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Alfaisal, Bernard Al-Marof (2012). Alfaisal, Bernard Bernard Al-Marof (2012). Alfaisal, Bernard Bern		education: the importance of teacher and student	London	Qualitative	online learning. Studies have revealed a deficiency in critical analysis about the hazards and ethical implications of AI systems on student-teacher interaction, and they urge more investigation to pinpoint any gaps or obstacles. By elevating education as Erziehung, or skill or trade learning, above	and Bildung levels, when people comprehend the moral significance of being a
Almaiah, Alfaisal, Salloum, Hajjej, Thabit, El-Qirem, and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Alfaisal, Students' Anxiety in E- Learning Students' Al technology, has been the subject of recent research. Since Al technology is predicted to advance quickly, lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-					Bildung, or character formation, the	moral person, Buber highlights the value of education that reveals
Almaiah, Alfaisal, Berning the Saludi Impact of Salloum, Hajjej, Thabit, El-Qirem, and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E-Learning: Students' ability to care for others and participate in social issues. Artificial Jordam, Oman, Allaysia Malaysia					of education has had a profound effect on	
Almaiah, Alfaisal, Impact of Salloum, Hajjej, Thabit, El-Qirem, and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Level. Almaiah, Almaiah, Impact of Arabia, Jordam, Oman, and Arbificial Jordam, Oman, and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Settings: Al technology, Arabia, Ve intelligence and intelligence anxiety in e- learning environments negatively impacts assesses learners' learning outcomes. Cooperative perceptions of AI technology, has been the subject of recent research. Since AI technology is predicted to advance quickly, lowering AIA levels could anxiety in learner- learning environments reduce anxiety by making learners feel more relaxed and comfortable sharing information. Traditional levels can reduce social anxiety in learner-					instruction, impairing students' ability	
Alfaisal, Impact of Arabia, Ve intelligence anxiety (AIA), a learning environments negatively impacts negati			a 1:		and participate in social issues.	
Salloum, Hajjej, Thabit, Intelligence and El-Qirem, and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Anxiety in E- Oman, Social and Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Anxiety in E- Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Anxiety in E- Students' Students' Subject of recent research. Since AI technology is predicted to advance quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		_		Quantitati		•
Hajjej, Thabit, El-Qirem, and Social and Computer (2022). Anxiety in E-Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Al technology is information. Traditional predicted to classrooms may advance quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels could anxiety in learner-	· ·	-	· ·	ve	_	-
El-Qirem, and Al-Maroof Computer Anxiety in E-Learning Settings: Students' Students outcomes. Cooperative perceptions of learning environments AI technology, reduce anxiety by making learners feel subject of recent research. Since comfortable sharing AI technology is information. Traditional predicted to classrooms may advance increase anxiety levels. Quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-	· ·		1		anxiety (AIA), a	•
Al-Maroof (2022). Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Al-Maroof (2022). Malaysia Students' perceptions of learning environments AI technology, reduce anxiety by making learners feel subject of recent research. Since AI technology is information. Traditional predicted to classrooms may advance quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		_			concern that	
Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Anxiety in E- Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Alxiethnology, has been the subject of recent research. Since AI technology is information. Traditional predicted to classrooms may advance quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-						\mathcal{C}
Learning Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. AI technology, has been the subject of recent research. Since AI technology is information. Traditional predicted to advance quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		1	Malaysia			•
Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Settings: Students' Perceptions at the University Level. has been the subject of recent more relaxed and research. Since comfortable sharing information. Traditional classrooms may advance increase anxiety levels. quickly, lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-	(2022).	· ·				•
Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Students' Perceptions at the University Level. Students' AI technology is information. Traditional classrooms may advance increase anxiety levels. Quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		_				, ,
Perceptions at the University Level. Level. Perceptions at the University Level. Level. Perceptions at the University Level. AI technology is information. Traditional classrooms may advance increase anxiety levels. Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		_				- C
the University Level. AI technology is predicted to classrooms may advance increase anxiety levels. quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-						
Level. predicted to classrooms may advance increase anxiety levels. quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		-				S
advance increase anxiety levels. quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		· ·				
quickly, Higher interaction lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-		Level.			*	,
lowering AIA levels can reduce social levels could anxiety in learner-						-
levels could anxiety in learner-						
					_	
						•

Computer anxiety is computer anxiety in clared to individual anxiety about using technology and does not hardware and software forms, is not the same as AI anxiety. Several factors, such as concerns about privacy violations, bias in behavior, job replacement, learning, existential risk, ethics violations, artificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision method impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the FU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaityte (2023). Unregulated Responses to the FU AI Act Proposal. European Children and Act Proposal. European Children anxiety end anxiety and European Children anxiety end intractive					annliastics	instructor interti	
Analysis of Feedback Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. **Ratvaityte** **Latvaityte** **Latvaityte**					application.	instructor interactions.	
comes in a variety of hardware and software forms, is not the same as AI auxiety. The study hypothesizes seven as AI auxiety. Several factors, such as concerns about privacy violations, bass in behavior, job replacement, learning, existential risk, ethics violations, auritificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology will dosn on a will distribute a quistomaire among graduate students. Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods AI technology and the technology and will distribute an AI technology and the te					_		
Variety of hardware and software forms is not the same as AI anxiety. The study hypothesizes seven hypothesizes for each relation and will distribute a questionnaire among violations, bias in behavior; job replacement, learning, existential risk, ethics violations, arrifficial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision—making. Kabaityté Unregulated (2023). Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Furopean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Puropean Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal Response to the EU AI Act Proposal Resp					•		
hardware and software forms, is not the same as AI anxiety. The study hypothesizes seven hypothesizes and will relation and will distribute a quistinity and will distribute a distribute a distribute a distribute a distribute a di						-	
software forms, is not the same as A1 anxiety. The study hypothesizes seven hypothesizes and seven hypothesizes advertin district or adverting the seven district or adverting the stude					•	••	
kalvaitytė (2023). Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2013). Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act the method Wasgative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Responses to the EU AI Act the method Wasgative Impacts of AI AI technologies include distrimutiantion, behavioral chiling. AI technologies include distrimutiantion, behavioral chiling. AI technologies include distrimutiantien australiantien australiantien australiantien australiantien AI technologies include distrimutiantien australiantien australiantien australiantien australiantien australiantien a					hardware and		
Several factors, such as concern factors, such as concern factors, such as concern factors, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about Al. Al technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Water Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Windows and a social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and and misinformation. Individual imputs include in the feu of freed from from including the imputs include imputs include imputs include imputs include imputs include in the feu of from including the imputs include imputs include in the feu of from including the imputs include in the feu of from including the imputs include in feu of from including the imputs include in the feu of from including the imputs include in the feu of from including the imputs including the imput to including the imput					software forms,	anxiety. The study	
Kalvaitytė (2023). Waspative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Fedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2013). Kalvaityt					is not the same	hypothesizes seven	
Such as concerns about privacy violations, bias in behavior, job replacement, learning, existential risk, ethics violations, artificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AL AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Water Unregulated European Mixed International material effects of AI Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal.					as AI anxiety.	hypotheses for each	
About privacy violations, bias in behavior, job replacement, learning, existential risk, ethics violations, artificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision—making. Kalvaityté (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Propo					Several factors,	relation and will	
About privacy violations, bias in behavior, job replacement, learning, existential risk, ethics violations, artificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision—making. Kalvaityté (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Propo							
Violations, bias in behavior, job replacement, learning, existential risk, ethics violations, artificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI tethnology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Ware to the total proposal to the EU AI Act Proposal. Proposal to the EU AI act							
California Cal							
Ralvaityte (2023). Unregulated (2023). Unregulated (2023). Negative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the method in pact of the response to the res						graduate students.	
Learning, existential risk, ethics violations, artificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Kalvaityté (2023). Unregulated European with technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making.							
kalvaitytė (2023). Wagative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Wagative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Wagative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Wagative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Wagative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Wagative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Wagative Impacts of Al: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU Al Act Proposal. Wagative Impacts of Al: Al technologies Impacts of detrimental effects of Al odetrimental effects of Al odetrime					-		
Consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Comparison Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal.					_		
Violations, artificial consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Kalvaityte (2023). Unregulated Negative union Mixed method Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Propos					,		
Kalvaityte Unregulated European Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal.							
Consciousness, and a lack of transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative union method impacts of Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Reponses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Al technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. By focusing on the cause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI on people and society could be lessened. Since there is no proof that manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and minisinformation. Individual impacts include impacts include freedom from							
Kalvaityté (2023). Unregulated (2023). Unregulated (2014) European union wethod Mixed union wethod Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal Propos					artificial		
Comparison of Alternologies Comparison of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Transparency, influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision—making. By focusing on the impacts of flimpacts of flimpacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal.					consciousness,		
influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision- making. By focusing on the cause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. By focusing on the cause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI on people and society on people and society could be lessened. Since discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual impacts include impacts of since detrimental effects of AI detrimental effects of AI behavioral behav					and a lack of		
influence anxiety about AI. AI technology significantly affects learners' capacities and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision- making. By focusing on the cause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. By focusing on the cause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI on people and society on people and society could be lessened. Since discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual impacts include impacts of since detrimental effects of AI detrimental effects of AI behavioral behav					transparency,		
Kalvaityté (2023). Unregulated (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal. Proposal Proposa							
Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Wegative Impacts of Al. Al. Al. Al. and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. We EU AI Act Proposal. We at Act Proposal. Al. Al technologies include decision-making. Mixed Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. We at Act Proposal. Al. Al technologies and self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. The negative impacts of a cause or effect level, the detrimental effect level, the detrimental effect lovel, the detrimental effect lovel, the detrimental effect lovel, the detrimental effect on people and society could be lessened. Since there is no proof that manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders in the industry can object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against adverse AI effects and redress channels is a better action. New limits including the impacts include impacts include freedom from							
Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Wegative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Union Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Union Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Union Mixed Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed					•		
Kalvaityté Unregulated European union method							
Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative union Mixed Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative union method Mixed method Mixed method Mixed method Mixed method AI technologies include discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual impacts of ocause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI on people and society could be lessened. Since there is no proof that manipulating AI and biometric identification systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders in the industry can object to this. Creating safeguards against adverse AI effects and redress channels is a better action. New rights, including the freedom from					•••		
Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Vegative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Impacts of biometric and detrimental effects of AI AI technologies include discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual impacts include discrimination there is no proof that manipulating AI and biometric identification systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders effects like political outcomes, polarization, and redress channels is a better action. New rights, including the freedom from							
Self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making. By focusing on the cause or effect level, the biometric and his manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual mising by focusing on the imacking. Self-efficacy and is based on autonomous decision-making.							
Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative union Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Mixed Methods And Mixed Methods And Responses to Al technologies include discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual impacts of Eause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI on people and society include could be lessened. Since discrimination, there is no proof that manipulating AI and biometric identification systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders in the industry can object to this. Creating osafeguards against adverse AI effects and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual impacts include freedom from					_		
Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative union method impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Negonise include discrimination, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and and misinformation. Individual impacts include impacts include impacts of biometric and cause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI on people and society could be lessened. Since discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulating AI and biometric identification systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders in the industry can object to this. Creating safeguards against adverse AI effects and and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual impacts include freedom from					•		
Kalvaitytė (2023). Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Neposal. Kalvaitytė (2024). Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2025). Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2026). Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Kalvaitytė (2026). Mixed Methods AI technologies include discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against adverse AI effects and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from							
Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Proposal. Negosila de European union Imdividual impacts include Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods AI technologies include discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual impacts include freedom from							
Kalvaitytė (2023). Negative Unregulated European Mixed Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Proposal.							
Negative Union method impacts of cause or effect level, the detrimental effects of AI Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Proposal.							
Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Mixed Methods AI technologies on people and society could be lessened. Since there is no proof that manipulating AI and biometric identification systems is effective, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and safeguards against polarization, and misinformation. Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from		•	_			_ •	
Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. AI technologies include discrimination, behavioral chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual impacts include AI technologies in people and society could be lessened. Since there is no proof that manipulating AI and biometric identification systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders in the industry can object to this. Creating adverse AI effects and redress channels is a better action. New rights, including the freedom from	(2023).	_	union	method	-	· ·	
Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. Analysis of Feedback Responses to the EU AI Act Chilling, Surveillance, Manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and and misinformation. Individual impacts include could be lessened. Since there is no proof that manipulating AI and biometric identification systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders in the industry can object to this. Creating safeguards against adverse AI effects and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual impacts include freedom from		*					
Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. discrimination, behavioral chilling, biometric identification systems is effective, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and social and misinformation. Individual impacts include freedom from		Mixed Methods			AI technologies	on people and society	
Responses to the EU AI Act Proposal. behavioral chilling, biometric identification systems is effective, manipulation, and social effects like political object to this. Creating outcomes, polarization, and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual impacts include freedom from		Analysis of			include	could be lessened. Since	
the EU AI Act Proposal. chilling, surveillance, manipulation, and social effects like political outcomes, polarization, and misinformation. Individual impacts include chilling, biometric identification systems is effective, they might be banned. However, stakeholders in the industry can object to this. Creating adverse AI effects and redress channels is a better action. New rights, including the impacts include freedom from		Feedback			discrimination,	there is no proof that	
Proposal. surveillance, manipulation, they might be banned. and social However, stakeholders effects like in the industry can political object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against polarization, adverse AI effects and and redress channels is a misinformation. better action. New Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from		Responses to			behavioral	manipulating AI and	
Proposal. surveillance, manipulation, they might be banned. and social effects like in the industry can object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against polarization, adverse AI effects and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from		*			chilling,		
manipulation, and social effects like political object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against polarization, and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual impacts include freedom from					-		
and social effects like in the industry can political object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against polarization, adverse AI effects and and redress channels is a misinformation. better action. New limited impacts include freedom from		1			•	I -	
effects like in the industry can object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against polarization, adverse AI effects and and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from					-	1	
political object to this. Creating outcomes, safeguards against polarization, adverse AI effects and and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from						*	
outcomes, safeguards against polarization, adverse AI effects and and redress channels is a misinformation. better action. New Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from						I -	
polarization, adverse AI effects and redress channels is a misinformation. better action. New rights, including the impacts include freedom from					•		
and redress channels is a misinformation. Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from							
misinformation. better action. New Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from					•		
Individual rights, including the impacts include freedom from							
impacts include freedom from							
autonomy, risks. manipulation or the					-		
					autonomy, risks,	manipulation or the	

and algorithmic ability to refuse AI
management treatment, may fall
systems. under this category. The
Societal effects AIA ought to think
include about creating new legal
monopolization rights for the effects of
of education, AI on society.
labor market
polarization,
and
surveillance.
Children's
impacts are not
generalizable,
but human
rights violations
are identified.
Addressing
these impacts
depends on EU
determination
from the
Commission,
EP, and Council.

Despite its negative effects, using AI in education still has positive effects, as stated in the literature mentioned above. It can customize the student's learning experience to cater to their strengths and weaknesses, which will greatly improve their academic engagement and achievements. Additionally, by automating assessment and grading processes, AI can save teachers time and effort while providing students instant feedback. While AI can save time for teachers and students, giving them a chance to relax or take a day off is a luxury.

It is important to note that AI is not really the solution for everything and that we must always act cautiously to avoid and prevent issues that will arise. Examples of these issues, like authenticity, academic dishonesty, etc., are stated in the table above. To be able to address these issues, students must always act with an open mind. That is why, in the case of academic dishonesty, we should openly communicate with students and teachers about how AI is used in the learning process. Encourage discussions about the benefits and limitations of AI in education. Furthermore, in the case of plagiarism, establish clear guidelines on acceptable AI usage in assignments, including proper citation practices when utilizing AI tools. Develop strategies to detect AI-generated content that could be used for plagiarism.

CONCLUSION

With all the literature presented in this review, it is well-known that AI can be useful in a world where everything has been digitalized. AI has surfaced numerous times in business, healthcare, services, and even the building sector. It has promised increased productivity, enhanced security, and improved project management skills in education and academics, particularly for students who have tons of unfinished work and can sigh relief from having AI as their guide. The advantages of AI include identifying gaps in students' knowledge, tracking student progress, creating customized lesson plans, optimizing lesson quality, enhancing learning experiences, and, last but not least, improving student motivation. However, like all things in the world, there will always be good and bad things; that is why, without any proper guidance, there is a high chance that AI can damage your potential to grow and learn as a student.

Furthermore, the disadvantages of using AI are privacy and security concerns, reduced critical thinking, misinformation, and lack of motivation. All of this could damage your mental well-being and your data. There is a potential for unauthorized access to personal data, data breaches, lack of transparency in how data is used, biased algorithms based on potentially biased training data, covert data collection practices, and inadequate data anonymization. That is why students who rely too much on AI-generated content may develop reduced critical thinking skills, may be hindered from learning to express their unique ideas, and may dehumanize the learning experience, which can affect students' motivation. It may cause misinformation because the data it draws

from may be outdated or have errors. It is crucial that students have the discipline to use AI in the manner intended to be used, or else there will be consequences.

Henceforth, it is important to note that while students should be disciplined and cautious, teachers must also guide the students on how AI can be used in education. Teachers or administrators must compare the pros and cons of AI to determine what would give you the best chance of getting the education you truly deserve, giving you the chance to reach your full potential. This can create an environment where integration supports meaningful learning experiences and a promising future for all students.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on all the information the researchers collected, artificial intelligence (AI) can occasionally be useful by enabling our students to rely on it for answers more conveniently. In connection with this, artificial intelligence surpasses the educational system in intelligence. By teaching young learners, alongside their parents and teachers, to not rely only on artificial intelligence, this research gives us confidence that each student will not depend on other AI tools in the future and will likely be able to answer questions independently.

AI can support academic endeavors by offering study resources and participating in educational dialogues. However, students may become reliant on digital tools due to the rapid reaction time of AI tools like chat and GPT, which raises the possibility of plagiarism. This is due to AI's improper author or source attribution, which makes plagiarism more likely. Students must be cautious not to rely on AI, which may result in bias, job displacement, and privacy issues.

REFERENCES

- AlAfnan, M. A., Samira Dishari, Marina Jovic, & Koba Lomidze. (2023). ChatGPT as an Educational Tool: Opportunities, Challenges, and Recommendations for Communication, Business Writing, and Composition Courses. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Technology*, 3(2), 60–68
- 2. Almaiah, M. A., Alfaisal, R., Salloum, S. A., Hajjej, F., Thabit, S., El-Qirem, F. A., ... & Al-Maroof, R. S. (2022). Examining the impact of artificial intelligence and social and computer anxiety in e-learning settings: Students' perceptions at the university level. *Electronics*, 11(22), 3662.
- 3. Barakina, E. Y., Popova, A. V., Gorokhova, S. S., & Voskovskaya, A. S. (2021). Digital Technologies and Artificial Intelligence Technologies in Education. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 10(2), 285-296.
- 4. Basha, J. Y. (2024). The Negative Impacts of AI Tools on Students in Academic and Real-Life Performance. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND COMMERCE*1, 3, 1-16.
- 5. Božić, V. (2023). The use of digital tools and AI in education. *Preprint*, 1-14.
- 6. Bringsjord, S. (2008). Ethical robots: The future can heed us. Ai & Society, 22, 539-550.
- 7. Cheng, L., Varshney, K. R., & Liu, H. (2021). Socially responsible ai algorithms: Issues, purposes, and challenges. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 71, 1137-1181.
- 8. Dewey, A., & Drahota, A. (2016). Introduction to systematic reviews: online learning module Cochrane Training
- 9. Dieterle, E., Dede, C., & Walker, M. (2024). The cyclical ethical effects of using artificial intelligence in education. *AI* & *society*, 39(2), 633-643.
- 10. Fidinansyah, A. F. (2024). ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ENGLISH EDUCATION AT UNIVERSITY OF MINDANAO DIGOS COLLEGE: A CASE STUDY (*Doctoral dissertation*, STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY).
- 11. Fitria, T. N. (2021, December). Artificial intelligence (AI) in education: Using AI tools for teaching and learning process. In Prosiding Seminar Nasional & Call for Paper STIE AAS (pp. 134-147).
- 12. Genelza, G. G. (2024). A systematic literature review on AI voice cloning generator: A game-changer or a threat?. *Journal of Emerging Technologies*, 4(2), 54-61.
- 13. Genelza, G. G. (2024). Deepfake digital face manipulation: A rapid literature review. Jozac Academic Voice, 4(1), 7-11.
- 14. Genelza, G. G. (2024). Unlocking the opportunities and challenges of using ChatGPT tools for educational services: A narrative literature review. *Journal of Emerging Technologies*, 4(1), 1-8.
- 15. Gedrimiene, E., Celik, I., Kaasila, A., Mäkitalo, K., & Muukkonen, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI)-enhanced learning analytics (LA) for supporting career decisions: Advantages and challenges from a user perspective. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(1), 297-322.
- 16. Guilherme, A. (2019). AI and education: the importance of teacher and student relations. AI & society, 34, 47-54.
- 17. Harry, A. (2023). Role of AI in Education. Interdiciplinary Journal & Hummanity (INJURITY), 2(3).
- 18. Hasanein, A. M., & Sobaih, A. E. E. (2023). Drivers and Consequences of ChatGPT Use in Higher Education: Key Stakeholder Perspectives. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education*, 13(11), 2599-2614.

- 19. Jarrah, A. M., Wardat, Y., & Fidalgo, P. (2023). Using ChatGPT in academic writing is (not) a form of plagiarism: What does the literature say. *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies*, 13(4), e202346.
- 20. Ju, Q. (2023). Experimental evidence on negative impact of generative AI on scientific learning outcomes. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2311.05629.
- 21. Kalvaitytė, M. (2022). Unregulated Negative Impacts of AI: Mixed Methods Analysis of Feedback Responses to.
- 22. Kerres, M., & Buntins, K. (2020). Recommender in AI-enhanced learning: An assessment from the perspective of instructional design. *Open Education Studies*, 2(1), 101-111.
- 23. Limna, P., Jakwatanatham, S., Siripipattanakul, S., Kaewpuang, P., & Sriboonruang, P. (2022). A review of artificial intelligence (AI) in education during the digital era. *Advance Knowledge for Executives*, 1(1), 1-9.
- 24. Lin, H., & Chen, Q. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI)-integrated educational applications and college students' creativity and academic emotions: students and teachers' perceptions and attitudes. *BMC psychology*, 12(1), 487.
- 25. Mohammadkarimi, E. (2023). Teachers' reflections on academic dishonesty in EFL students' writings in the era of artificial intelligence. *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, 6(2), 105-113.
- 26. Naseer, A., Ahmad, N. R., & Chishti, M. A. (2025). Psychological Impacts of AI Dependence: Assessing the Cognitive and Emotional Costs of Intelligent Systems in Daily Life. *Review of Applied Management and Social Sciences*, 8(1), 291-307.
- 27. Owan, V. J., Abang, K. B., Idika, D. O., Etta, E. O., & Bassey, B. A. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence tools in educational measurement and assessment. *Eurasia journal of mathematics, science and technology education*, 19 (8), em2307.
- 28. Peeters, M. M., van Diggelen, J., Van Den Bosch, K., Bronkhorst, A., Neerincx, M. A., Schraagen, J. M., & Raaijmakers, S. (2021). Hybrid collective intelligence in a human–AI society. *AI & society*, 36, 217-238.
- 29. Santos, J. V. L., & Jocson, J. C. (2024). Adoption of Artificial Intelligence Technologies in the Philippine Construction Industry: A Review of Literature. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 2 (8), 1-1.
- 30. Seo, K., Tang, J., Roll, I., Fels, S., & Yoon, D. (2021). The impact of artificial intelligence on learner–instructor interaction in online learning. *International journal of educational technology in higher education*, 18, 1-23.
- 31. Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M. A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D. T., Huang, R., & Agyemang, B. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart learning environments*, 10(1), 15.
- 32. Velastegui, D., Pérez, M. L. R., & Garcés, L. F. S. (2023). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on learning behaviors and psychological well-being of college students. Salud, *Ciencia y Tecnologia-Serie de Conferencias*, (2), 343.
- 33. Williamson, B., Molnar, A., & Boninger, F. (2024). Time for a Pause: Without Effective Public Oversight, AI in Schools Will Do More Harm Than Good. Commercialism in Education Research Unit.
- 34. Wolfe, A. (1991). Mind, self, society, and computer: Artificial intelligence and the sociology of mind. *American Journal of Sociology*, 96(5), 1073-1096
- 35. Wu, W., Huang, T., & Gong, K. (2020). Ethical principles and governance technology development of AI in China. *Engineering*, 6(3), 302-309.