International Journal of Human Research and Social Science Studies

ISSN(p): 3050-547X, ISSN(e): 3050-5488

Volume 02 Issue 09 September, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55677/ijhrsss/09-2025-Vol02I9

Page No : 643-658

Toward a Unified Neuroaesthetic Framework for Art-Based Interventions in Substance Use Recovery - A Review

Piper Hutson[1], James Hutson[2]

[1]Lindenwood University, USA https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1787-6143

[2]Lindenwood University, USA https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0578-6052

ABSTRACT: Art-based interventions have gained increasing recognition as complementary strategies for substance use disorder (SUD) recovery and prevention, yet the field remains fragmented across clinical art therapy, community-based programs, and expressive digital practices. This article advances a unified neuroaesthetic framework that integrates sensory regulation, narrative integration, and interpersonal synchronization as the core mechanisms of healing. Drawing on recent U.S.-based empirical studies (post-2020), the paper synthesizes evidence from multiple modalities, including visual arts, music therapy, dance/movement practices, and digital storytelling, each demonstrating measurable effects on craving reduction, stress regulation, identity reconstruction, and social connection. Neuroscientific insights are central: findings highlight how creative engagement activates dopaminergic reward pathways, modulates amygdala-driven stress circuits, and fosters neuroplasticity in memory reconsolidation. Practical program models—ranging from inpatient music therapy groups and outpatient movement-based protocols to community photovoice projects and digital media workshops—illustrate the adaptability of arts interventions across prevention, after-treatment, and clinical tiers. Policy and funding implications are also discussed, including opportunities for integration into Medicaid waivers, SAMHSA recovery initiatives, and hospital-based innovation pilots. By bridging art and neuroscience, the proposed neuroaesthetic framework positions creativity not as ancillary recreation but as an evidence-based therapeutic modality that aligns with circuit-level dysfunctions in addiction. This interdisciplinary paradigm provides a scalable foundation for future research, training, and program development, reframing art as a neuroadaptive technology for healing in the context of substance use recovery and preventative care.

Corresponding Author:
Piper Hutson

KEYWORDS:
Neuroaesthetics, Art therapy, Substance use disorder, Recovery interventions, Digital storytelling

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The problem space: fragmentation amid promise

Art-based interventions have moved from peripheral enrichment to consequential adjuncts in substance use disorder (SUD) prevention, treatment, and recovery; yet practice remains fragmented across clinical art therapy, expressive arts facilitation, and community arts programming (Maina et al., 2022; Quinn, 2025). These silos, reinforced by distinct credentialing regimes and divergent theoretical orientations, impede cumulative knowledge building and scale. A unified neuroaesthetic framework addresses this fragmentation by specifying how arts engagement—visual, musical, movement-based, and digital—targets neurocognitive systems dysregulated in addiction: stress reactivity, reward valuation, executive control, interoceptive awareness, and social relatedness. Contemporary addiction neuroscience defines SUD as a chronic disorder of circuit-level dysfunction across mesocorticolimbic, salience, and control networks; such dysfunction manifests as attenuated responsiveness to natural rewards, heightened cue-reactivity, and impaired prefrontal regulation (Koob & Volkow, 2016; Volkow et al., 2011). A neuroaesthetic approach hypothesizes that structured arts engagement can "exercise" these same systems through sensory regulation, narrative integration, and interpersonal synchronization—three cross-cutting mechanisms with convergent empirical support (Hass-Cohen, & Findlay, 2015). The present report synthesizes post-2020 U.S.-relevant evidence for arts-in-health applications and articulates a tiered model—preventative, after-treatment, and medically supervised—adaptable to substance-specific neurobiological profiles. In

doing so, it reframes creative practice as a neuroadaptive technology for healing rather than as ancillary recreation, advancing both scientific coherence and translational implementation for addiction care.

1.2. Conceptual anchor: neuroaesthetics and the aesthetic triad

Neuroaesthetics supplies the theoretical substrate for this unification. Across two decades, research has converged on the aesthetic triad: sensory–motor processing, emotion–valuation, and meaning–knowledge systems combine to generate aesthetic experience and its downstream effects on attention, affect, and self-related cognition (Chatterjee & Vartanian, 2014; Chatterjee et al., 2016). Notably, intense aesthetic engagement recruits the default mode network (DMN)—implicated in self-referential thought and autobiographical simulation—while pleasurable music and art reliably engage dopaminergic reward circuitry (Salimpoor et al., 2011; Vessel, Starr, & Rubin, 2012; Vessel et al., 2019). These properties render arts engagement uniquely suited to SUD aims: to restore hedonic tone, recalibrate salience from drug to natural rewards, and reorganize self-narratives toward recovery identities. In short, the triad offers a principled mapping from arts affordances to clinical and community outcomes, permitting mechanistic hypotheses and testable intervention designs.

1.3. Scope, audience, and contribution

This article targets academic researchers and U.S. practitioners seeking an integrative evidence base for neuroaesthetic intervention design. It (a) consolidates recent empirical findings across modalities; (b) specifies three core mechanisms—sensory-affective regulation, narrative integration, and interpersonal synchronization; (c) articulates a three-tier delivery model aligned with stage-of-care; (d) introduces substance-responsive neuroaesthetic mapping to tailor modalities to opioid, stimulant, and depressant profiles; and (e) outlines policy, financing, workforce, and evaluation considerations for sustainable implementation. The discussion proceeds with a literature review that links addiction neurobiology to aesthetic engagement, then migrates from mechanism to model to measurement, concluding with ethical and equity imperatives and a research agenda designed to advance rigorous, scalable neuroarts therapeutics (Aspen Institute NeuroArts Blueprint, 2021; Menon, 2023; Starr, 2023).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Neurobiology of addiction: circuits and targets for arts engagement

Addiction reflects coordinated dysregulation across distributed neural circuits subserving reward learning, stress responsivity, and executive control, yielding the characteristic sequence of compulsive seeking, negative affect, and impaired regulation . The ventral striatum—particularly the nucleus accumbens—exhibits altered dopaminergic signaling that devalues natural rewards while potentiating drug-associated cues, thereby biasing prediction-error learning toward substances (Koob & Volkow, 2016). In parallel, the amygdala and extended amygdala become sensitized, amplifying stress reactivity and negative reinforcement processes that perpetuate use during withdrawal or dysphoria (Kaplan & Thompson, 2023). Executive systems in the prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex show hypoactivity, undermining inhibitory control, planning, and conflict monitoring precisely when such capacities are needed to resist conditioned triggers. These circuit-level shifts cohere with clinical phenomenology—craving escalates, decision-making narrows, and emotional homeostasis destabilizes under recurrent drug exposure (Volkow et al., 2011).

Interoceptive processing, mediated by the insular cortex and viscerosensory pathways, becomes distorted, eroding accurate sensing of bodily states and disrupting affect labeling and urge awareness (Herman, Critchley, & Duka, 2023). Such interoceptive perturbations are prominent in opioid and stimulant use disorders, where blunted bodily awareness and heightened cue-reactivity co-occur with volatile emotional control. Across substances, the cumulative outcome is an organism that overlearns drug salience, underengages natural rewards, and misreads internal signals, all while executive oversight weakens (Berridge, 2007). The resulting neurobehavioral syndrome is not merely a failure of willpower but a maladaptive recalibration of prediction, valuation, and regulation systems distributed across corticolimbic networks (Robins, 2019). This systems perspective sets the stage for interventions that deliberately engage these same circuits through nonpharmacological, experience-based inputs such as the arts.

These abnormalities identify concrete leverage points around which arts interventions can be designed to promote recalibration of reward, stress, control, and interoception (Vaisvaser, 2021). First, augmenting natural reward processing through experiences that evoke intrinsic pleasure and mastery may restore hedonic capacity and rebalance salience away from drug cues (Garland, 2016). Second, decompressing stress circuitry via predictable rhythmicity, sensory soothing, and graded exposure to affect can counter hyperreactivity in amygdala-centered networks (Chen et al., 2021). Third, scaffolding executive control through structured creative tasks that require planning, error monitoring, and flexible problem-solving can exercise prefrontal functions compromised by chronic substance exposure (Pozuelos et al., 2019). Fourth, recalibrating interoception with embodied practices that pair attention to breath, movement, and tactile sensation with reflective labeling may improve bodily awareness and emotion regulation (Herman, Critchley, & Duka, 2023).

Substance profiles can further refine targeting: for opioids, interventions might prioritize interoceptive retraining and hedonic rehabilitation; for stimulants, programs may emphasize inhibitory control and safe arousal modulation; for alcohol and sedatives, shame repair and social co-regulation may be central. Importantly, these targets are not mutually exclusive, and comprehensive programming should braid them in dosed combinations sensitive to stage of change and clinical acuity (Koob & Volkow, 2016).

The arts are uniquely positioned to deliver such multimodal inputs because they bind sensory, cognitive, and social demands within

meaningful, intrinsically motivating activities (Chatterjee, Coburn, & Weinberger, 2016). In effect, creative engagement supplies structured opportunities for the brain to practice healthier patterns of prediction, valuation, and regulation in emotionally safe contexts (Volkow et al., 2011). Consequently, a neuroaesthetic framework converts abstract circuit models into concrete design specifications for intervention components that can be tested and optimized across settings.

2.2. Neuroaesthetic foundations: reward, DMN, and meaning

Neuroimaging demonstrates that intensely pleasurable music evokes phasic dopaminergic responses in the nucleus accumbens and recruits frontostriatal connectivity central to reinforcement learning, thereby providing a biologically plausible pathway for hedonic rehabilitation in recovery (Blum et al., 2017; Salimpoor et al., 2011). Visual art making, even in brief sessions, has been shown with functional near-infrared spectroscopy to increase medial prefrontal activation, a region implicated in reward valuation and emotion regulation during self-generated expression (Kaimal et al., 2017). Complementary psychophysiological studies reveal reductions in cortisol and self-reported stress after art making, consistent with downregulation of autonomic arousal through focused, intrinsically rewarding activity (Kaimal, Ray, & Muniz, 2016). These reward and regulation effects arise in contexts that are personally meaningful and agentic, distinguishing arts engagement from generic relaxation or distraction techniques (Chatterjee & Vartanian, 2014). Because the experiences are encoded as self-relevant and mastery-linked, they may preferentially consolidate as natural-reward memories that compete with drug-cue associations in subsequent decision contexts (Salimpoor et al., 2011). The predictive, rhythmic structure of music also entrains timing and expectation, scaffolding affect regulation through anticipatory and consummatory phases of aesthetic pleasure. Visual composition similarly recruits iterative planning and error correction, exercising executive functions that are undermined in chronic substance use. Together, these findings indicate that arts can supply repeated, graded "practice" for reward and control systems with minimal adverse risk (Kaimal, Ray, & Muniz, 2016). Critically, because enjoyment and meaning are baked into the tasks, dosage can be achieved without overreliance on external incentives, a practical advantage in outpatient and community settings. The convergence of hedonic, regulatory, and agentic properties makes the arts a theoretically coherent platform for neurorehabilitative aims in addiction care (Chatterjee, Coburn, & Weinberger, 2016).

On the meaning-making axis, intense aesthetic experiences reliably engage the default mode network, which supports autobiographical memory, self-referential thought, and narrative integration—capacities essential for identity work in recovery (Vessel, Starr, & Rubin, 2012). Strikingly, multivoxel pattern analyses indicate that DMN representations of aesthetic appeal generalize across visual domains, suggesting a domain-general coding of meaning and value that can be harnessed regardless of medium preference (Vessel et al., 2019). This cross-domain property implies that programs can tailor modality to cultural and personal salience without sacrificing access to core self-related mechanisms. Theoretical syntheses in neuroaesthetics further articulate an "aesthetic triad" wherein sensory-motor, emotion-valuation, and meaning-knowledge systems interact to produce aesthetic experience and its psychological consequences. In practice, this means that a single creative act can concurrently modulate arousal, recruit reward, and reorganize autobiographical schemas, providing an efficient therapeutic scaffold for recovery tasks (Chatterjee, Coburn, & Weinberger, 2016). Moreover, aesthetic experience often includes metacognitive reflection—evaluating one's work, intentions, and feelings—which engages frontal networks needed for monitoring and cognitive flexibility in high-risk situations (Kaimal et al., 2017). Because addiction disrupts coherent self-narrative and future-oriented agency, repeated engagement with DMN-mediated meaning-making may help shift identity from problem-saturated to growth-oriented storylines (Vessel et al., 2012). Recent arguments position aesthetic learning as a model for human learning more broadly, emphasizing how emotionally saturated, self-relevant experiences drive durable change—an insight directly germane to relapse prevention (Starr, 2023). Accordingly, the mechanistic stack—reward activation, autonomic regulation, and DMN-mediated meaning—supports the thesis that arts can catalyze multi-level neuroadaptation aligned with recovery (Kaimal et al., 2017; Salimpoor et al., 2011; Vessel et al., 2012; Vessel et al., 2019). This triangulation transforms "art helps" from intuition into testable mechanism, guiding precise intervention design and measurement.

2.3. Mechanistic triad for SUD: sensory regulation, narrative integration, interpersonal synchronization

Creative engagement reliably modulates autonomic tone and stress biomarkers, providing non-pharmacological up- or down-regulation matched to clinical need in early and sustained recovery (Kaimal, Ray, & Muniz, 2016). Drawing, coloring, and free mark-making elicit focused attention and flow-like absorption that correlate with reduced cortisol and improved mood, suggesting parasympathetic engagement during visual self-expression (Kaimal et al., 2016). Functional near-infrared spectroscopy indicates that such tasks increase medial prefrontal activity consistent with enhanced regulatory control over limbic reactivity, an effect theoretically relevant to cue-exposure contexts (Kaimal et al., 2017). Imaging-overview work in art therapy underscores that creative tasks recruit networks involved in salience detection and executive oversight, allowing clinicians to titrate difficulty and sensory intensity to maintain a window of tolerance (King, Kaimal, & Konopka, 2019). Music similarly modulates arousal through tempo, dynamics, and harmonic expectancy, while predictive processing models explain how rhythmic entrainment stabilizes affect during anticipation and release (Salimpoor et al., 2011). In practice, clinicians can "dose" sensory parameters—color saturation, texture, tempo, rhythmic density—to shift arousal deliberately toward calm, activation, or balanced vigilance as indicated by the session goal (King et al., 2019). Such titration is especially valuable when executive resources are taxed, because it leverages bottom-up

regulation without heavy cognitive demands early in stabilization (Kaimal et al., 2016). Over time, repeated practice pairing sensation, labeling, and reflective discussion can consolidate interoceptive accuracy and emotion differentiation, improving self-management of triggers (Herman, Critchley, & Duka, 2023). Importantly, because participants experience these exercises as meaningful and often pleasurable, adherence tends to be higher than with purely didactic regulation drills (Chatterjee & Vartanian, 2014). Sensory–affective regulation therefore constitutes a foundational mechanism through which arts help re-establish physiological stability in SUD care (Kaimal et al., 2016).

Expressive tools enable reconstruction of identity after addiction by externalizing adversity, scaffolding coherence, and supporting future-oriented agency across residential, outpatient, and peer-mentor settings. The modified bridge drawing invites depiction of "from–to" journeys, enabling clients to visualize obstacles and resources while therapists gain shared, projective imagery for motivational work and relapse planning (Hanes & Rojas, 2021). Digital storytelling extends this narrative work by coupling autobiographical scripting with voice, image, and sound, producing artifacts that can be shared to reduce stigma and strengthen social bonds in recovery communities (SAMHSA, 2022). In parallel, group arts facilitate nonverbal synchrony—time-locked, embodied coordination that underwrites affiliation and therapeutic alliance in ways measurable with motion energy analysis (Yap et al., 2022). In music therapy dyads, nonverbal synchrony and patient leading increase after shared music making, suggesting enhanced agency and relational engagement consequent to entrainment. Because addiction is frequently termed a disease of isolation, structured opportunities for safe synchrony may repair trust and co-regulation capacities that pharmacologic treatment alone cannot address. The triad thus unites bottom-up sensory regulation, top-down narrative meaning, and social attunement into a coherent therapeutic stack that can be dosed and sequenced across sessions and tiers of care (Chatterjee, Coburn, & Weinberger, 2016). Clinically, practitioners can interleave grounding sensory tasks, narrative prompts, and synchrony exercises within a single protocol, thereby addressing physiological, cognitive, and relational needs synergistically (King et al., 2019). Such integrative designs also create multiple entry points for clients with differing preferences, cultural backgrounds, and readiness levels, enhancing equity and engagement. By operationalizing these three mechanisms, neuroaesthetic interventions become more than activities—they become targeted exercises for circuits central to sustained recovery (Hanes & Rojas, 2021; Yap et al., 2022).

2.4. Modality-specific empirical evidence since 2020

Since 2020, modality-specific evidence for arts interventions in substance use disorders has consolidated around music therapy as the strongest empirically supported approach. A recent Cochrane review of randomized controlled trials concluded that adjunctive music therapy, when added to standard care, likely reduces craving while improving motivation for treatment engagement (Ghetti et al., 2022). Effects were consistently larger in multi-session programs, suggesting a meaningful dose–response gradient that favors sustained therapeutic exposure over one-off sessions. The reviewed trials also indicated benefits for allied outcomes such as anxiety reduction and alliance quality, both of which are proximal determinants of treatment retention in addiction services. Across studies, structured, therapist-guided protocols—rather than purely receptive listening—were more frequently associated with measurable improvements, implying the importance of intentional goal setting and facilitated reflection within sessions (Iliya, 2014). Importantly, the majority of trials integrated music therapy into comprehensive programs that included counseling or pharmacotherapy, highlighting the role of arts modalities as amplifiers rather than replacements for evidence-based care (Degli Stefani & Biasutti, 2016). Methodological limitations persisted—small samples, variable control conditions, and short follow-up windows—but the convergence of results across heterogeneous sites strengthens the inference of practical benefit. Taken together, post-2020 syntheses position music therapy as a ready candidate for scale-up studies that prioritize implementation quality and fidelity monitoring in real-world clinics.

Evidence for dance/movement therapy and visual arts modalities has accelerated during the same period, though designs remain more heterogeneous and often emphasize feasibility and mechanism over definitive efficacy. In inpatient addiction settings, dance/movement therapy has demonstrated feasibility and acceptability, with observational gains in mood, impulse awareness, and social functioning that align with earlier clinical evidence in related populations (Bradt, Shim, & Goodill, 2015; Weitz et al., 2022). Implementation reports describe manualized DMT combined with cognitive-behavioral therapy, emphasizing interoceptive awareness, cue-trigger recognition, and regulation strategies that are directly relevant to relapse prevention. Visual arts research contributes convergent mechanistic support, including laboratory and clinical investigations linking art-making to reward-system engagement and stress reduction, as indexed by functional near-infrared spectroscopy and psychophysiological markers (Kaimal et al., 2017; King et al., 2019). These studies, while frequently limited by nonrandomized designs and modest samples, collectively indicate plausible neurobehavioral pathways—enhanced salience for non-drug rewards and down-regulation of affective arousal—through which arts activities may support recovery. Digital media scoping reviews extend the evidence base upstream to prevention, showing that youth-driven participatory projects such as Photovoice and short-form video can shift knowledge, perceived norms, and self-efficacy related to substance use (Maina et al., 2022). Participatory creation emerges as a recurrent mechanism, with authors noting that authorship and audience addressability foster agency, reflection, and socially reinforced behavior change among adolescents. Collectively, the post-2020 landscape therefore supports a tiered research agenda: pragmatic RCTs for DMT and visual arts within SUD care, hybrid effectiveness–implementation trials, and prevention studies that combine participatory media with standardized behavioral outcomes.

Across modalities, post-2020 literature indicates that arts-based interventions exert their most reliable effects when embedded within standard SUD care and delivered through structured, multi-session protocols. Music therapy has the clearest RCT signal for reducing craving and enhancing treatment motivation, warranting scale-up and attention to fidelity and dose. Dance/movement therapy shows promising feasibility and clinically relevant gains in affective regulation and social connectedness, but requires adequately powered randomized trials with active comparators to establish efficacy in SUD populations. Visual arts studies provide mechanistic plausibility—reward activation and stress modulation—while highlighting the need for standardized outcomes and longitudinal follow-up. Participatory digital media appears particularly suited to prevention contexts, leveraging agency and peer diffusion to shift attitudes and intentions among adolescents. Methodological priorities include harmonized craving measures, pre-registered analysis plans, blinded outcome assessment where feasible, and calibration of session dose to clinical endpoints. Equity and implementation science should be foregrounded through hybrid trial designs that assess reach, adoption, and sustainability in community and inpatient settings. With these design improvements, the field is positioned to test a coherent theory of change linking arts engagement to neurocognitive regulation, social reinforcement, and reduced relapse risk across the SUD continuum.

3. SUBSTANCE-RESPONSIVE NEUROAESTHETIC MAPPING

3.1. Opioid use disorder (OUD): hedonic rehabilitation and interoceptive recalibration

OUD frequently presents with a triad of anhedonia, cue-reactivity, and blunted interoception, reflecting chronic adaptations across mesolimbic reward, salience, and insular networks that devalue natural rewards while amplifying drug salience (Koob & Volkow, 2016; Upadhyay et al., 2022). Mindfulness-Oriented Recovery Enhancement demonstrates that training in savoring natural reward cues increases EEG late positive potential responses to pleasant stimuli, reduces craving, and mediates decreased opioid misuse, thereby validating hedonic recalibration as a viable therapeutic target (Hudak et al., 2021). Neuroaesthetic design extends this logic: music can stimulate dopaminergic reward and elevate hedonic tone, while tactile and interoceptive arts such as clay work or breath-attuned mark-making re-map bodily signals and expand affective granularity (Salimpoor et al., 2011; Kaimal et al., 2017). Narrative practices—autobiographical collage, object-stories, and digital storytelling—translate trauma, loss, and recovery milestones into coherent meaning frameworks that stabilize identity and future orientation (Hanes & Rojas, 2021; Vessel, Starr, & Rubin, 2012). Because OUD care often includes medications for opioid use disorder, arts protocols should be coordinated with pharmacotherapy to support reward normalization, stress regulation, and adherence through intrinsically motivating engagement (Koob & Volkow, 2016; Ghetti et al., 2022). In early stabilization, sensory-calming protocols using slow tempo, low-saturation color, and paced respiration can downshift hyperarousal without heavy cognitive load, preparing patients for reflective narrative work (Kaimal, Ray, & Muniz, 2016; King, Kaimal, & Konopka, 2019). As hedonic capacity returns, graded mastery challenges—songwriting structures, progressive complexity in visual composition—can strengthen prefrontal control while reinforcing natural reward prediction (Liu, 2024). The overarching aim is to recouple bodily awareness, reward valuation, and autobiographical meaning so that natural pleasures and prosocial aims regain motivational dominance over drug cues (Garland et al., 2019).

Implementation follows a stepped logic linking physiology, affect, and meaning across sessions while maintaining safety and cultural fit. A typical cycle might begin with breath-synchronized drawing or clay work to anchor interoception, proceed to receptive or active music to engage hedonic tone, and close with narrative consolidation that names sensations, emotions, and values-based intentions for the week (Elswit, 2019). Clinicians can titrate “dose” via duration, sensory intensity, and cognitive complexity, monitoring proximal outcomes such as craving ratings, affect valence, and heart-rate variability to calibrate difficulty in real time (King et al., 2019; Kaimal et al., 2017). MORE-derived savoring practices—identifying, amplifying, and mentally rehearsing natural reward cues—can be embedded into music and visual routines to consolidate hedonic learning between visits (Garland et al., 2019; Hudak et al., 2021). Dyadic or small-group formats add opportunities for nonverbal synchrony, alliance strengthening, and prosocial reinforcement, all of which predict engagement and self-efficacy in recovery trajectories (Yap et al., 2022; Ghetti et al., 2022). For patients with pronounced alexithymia or trauma histories, projective visual prompts offer low-verbal entry points that can be gradually paired with reflective labeling and values clarification (Hanes & Rojas, 2021; Vessel et al., 2012). Attention to equity is essential: modality choices, repertoire, and symbols should reflect cultural aesthetics that confer dignity, belonging, and authenticity to the recovery story. When delivered with such precision and cultural attunement, arts-based OUD interventions operationalize hedonic rehabilitation and interoceptive recalibration as mutually reinforcing pillars of care.

3.2. Stimulant use disorders: executive control and salience reweighting

Stimulant use disorders are distinguished by perturbed salience attribution and degraded executive control—manifested as heightened cue-reactivity, impulsivity, and perseveration—such that drug-related stimuli dominate attention while goal-consistent plans fail to consolidate (Minozzi et al., 2024). Within a neuroaesthetic program, structured visual arts and digital storytelling can function as “salience reweighting” tools, repeatedly coupling attention, appraisal, and reward to personally meaningful, prosocial targets rather than to conditioned drug cues. Iterative creation cycles—storyboarding, revising compositions, sequencing images, and presenting works—exercise dorsolateral and ventromedial prefrontal systems implicated in planning, decision-making, and value updating, while also recruiting autobiographical memory networks that support identity change. Music-based interventions

can be calibrated to arousal safely; for example, group songwriting and rhythm work provide graded stimulation while embedding cognitive "brakes" (stop–go dynamics, call-and-response) that practice inhibitory control in affectively engaging contexts.

Evidence from a cluster-randomized comparison on a detoxification unit indicates that music-therapy formats can differentially reduce craving and strengthen change commitment over brief inpatient windows, supporting the feasibility of mechanism-sensitive musical designs for stimulant-involved care (Silverman, 2023). Complementarily, meta-analytic evidence suggests musical training enhances inhibitory control—an executive facet centrally eroded in stimulant use—offering a translational rationale for rhythmically scaffolded practice in recovery settings (Shen et al., 2023). In aggregate, these findings justify stimulant-responsive arts protocols that explicitly target executive functions through repeated, motivating rehearsal embedded in creative tasks. Such protocols can be delivered as adjuncts to contingency management or cognitive–behavioral treatments, with arts activities operationalizing the "practice space" for impulse monitoring, delay of gratification, and goal maintenance (Minozzi et al., 2024).

A second design thread emphasizes embodied regulation of action tendencies—channeling elevated motor drive into synchronized, rule-governed patterns that reward precision and pause. Short, manualized dance/movement therapy (DMT) modules paired with cognitive–behavioral prompts ("study the impulse," "choose the counter-move") have shown feasibility and acceptability in inpatient addiction programs; an implementation study in 2024 detailed a four-session CBT-informed DMT protocol optimized for acute settings and variable length of stay (Bourdon & Kirane, 2024; Weitz, Bradt, & Goodill, 2022). Group music-making can be tuned to executive demands by imposing temporal constraints, conductor cues, and ensemble contingencies that simulate real-life stop–start control under affective load, thereby rehearsing conflict monitoring and response inhibition. Visual sequencing tasks—e.g., assembling stepwise photo-essays of "a day without methamphetamine"—similarly require organizing attention, resisting distraction, and sustaining planful action to completion; such tasks can be graded in difficulty across sessions to instantiate dosage and progression. To align with stimulant-linked anhedonia during early abstinence, creative challenges are framed to deliver frequent experiences of mastery and novelty, thereby restoring sensitivity to non-drug rewards while strengthening executive–reward coupling. Programs can further integrate contingency management by awarding incentives for completed creative "units," explicitly reinforcing executive stability that transfers to everyday routines. Measurement should mirror the mechanisms: repeated-assessment batteries of inhibitory control, delay discounting, and cue-reactivity can be paired with ecological momentary reports of craving and affect during arts practice. Collectively, stimulant-responsive neuroaesthetic designs operationalize executive retraining and salience recalibration in ways that are intrinsically rewarding, socially scaffolded, and feasible in real-world care.

3.3. Alcohol and sedative use disorders: affect regulation and shame repair

Alcohol and sedative use disorders characteristically involve prefrontal suppression, impaired co-regulation, and shame-laden withdrawal, creating therapeutic barriers to engagement precisely when alliance and affect regulation are most needed. Sensorimotor arts provide a low-verbal route to autonomic stabilization: paced drawing, breath-synchronized movement, and drumming can downshift sympathetic tone while cultivating interoceptive accuracy in safe increments. Narrative arts address the moral emotions that frequently entangle recovery; "story repair" practices—guided re-authoring of problem-saturated accounts—transform globalized shame into specific responsibility and growth-oriented meaning, which in turn supports help-seeking and persistence. A 2024 systematic review identified self-compassion and self-forgiveness as salutary levers for alcohol outcomes, positioning narrative and reflective arts as concrete vehicles to rehearse those stances through metaphor, imagery, and first-person voice (Berg, Jarmolowicz, & Raldiris, 2024). In dyadic work, music therapy can fortify alliance via nonverbal synchrony; motion-based synchrony during shared music-making has been shown to increase after sessional interventions, with downstream links to engagement and patient agency (Yap, Daubney, & Simpson, 2022). Narrative identity research further indicates that coherence, agency, and emotion processing within personal stories are perturbed in addictive disorders, offering measurable targets for arts-based narrative reconstruction (Deriu et al., 2024). Programmatically, combining sensorimotor grounding with narrative reconstruction allows clinicians to titrate exposure to shame while providing concurrent, embodied experiences of safety and efficacy. These elements can be integrated alongside standard pharmacotherapies for alcohol use disorder, with arts sessions timed to periods of heightened dysphoria or craving to provide immediate, skills-based regulation opportunities.

A second, relationship-centered thread leverages interpersonal synchrony and compassion-focused narrative to counteract social withdrawal and internalized stigma common in alcohol and sedative presentations. Group formats that emphasize rhythmic entrainment—e.g., ensemble drumming with shared tempo cues—produce an immediate sense of "moving together," seeding belonging that supports disclosure and mutual regulation when shame would otherwise silence participation. Dyadic music therapy and arts-based mirroring exercises can be deployed intentionally at intake to accelerate alliance formation, which is among the strongest predictors of retention and clinical change; recent syntheses suggest interpersonal synchrony is observable in clinical encounters and may covary with key processes of care, warranting inclusion as a mechanistic outcome in future studies (Adel et al., 2024; Yap et al., 2022). Narrative modules can then scaffold self-compassion through structured prompts—values clarification, future letters, and recovery "counter-stories"—that reframe lapses without global condemnation, consistent with the self-compassion literature in alcohol risk and recovery (Berg et al., 2024). Digital storytelling extends these gains beyond the clinic by enabling peer-sharing of recovery narratives, with dissemination producing anti-stigma ripple effects and reinforcing prosocial identity. Measurement can include state shame, self-compassion, and alliance indices across sessions, complemented by physiological

proxies of co-regulation during synchronized activity. Clinically, teams can co-schedule sensorimotor and narrative blocks to ensure autonomic readiness for higher-demand autobiographical work, reducing the likelihood of overwhelm. In combination, these strategies cultivate a felt sense of safety, communal belonging, and narrative dignity—antidotes to the shame–avoidance spiral that sustains alcohol and sedative misuse.

3.4. Polysubstance and co-occurring conditions: transdiagnostic tailoring

Given the prevalence of polysubstance patterns and psychiatric comorbidity, a transdiagnostic map anchored to symptom clusters—anhedonia, hyperarousal, avoidance, alexithymia, and social isolation—offers pragmatic guidance for personalization. For anhedonia, assign mastery- and novelty-rich arts (e.g., rapid prototyping, ensemble music challenges) to elicit "earned" reward signals while building self-efficacy; for hyperarousal, privilege slow rhythmic entrainment, paced breath-and-brush work, and grounding imagery. Avoidance and alexithymia warrant projective visual tasks—such as bridge drawings and image-based storytelling—that externalize affect safely while building vocabulary for somatic and emotional states. Recent meta-analytic and review work confirms robust associations between alexithymia and substance involvement, with especially large effects in clinical samples; these data justify explicit alexithymia screening and targeted emotion-identification goals within arts protocols (Kun et al., 2023; Honkalampi et al., 2022). In alcohol-focused cohorts, alexithymia and poor emotion regulation predict heavier use and poorer outcomes, underscoring the value of interoception- and expression-focused creative work as an adjunctive path to change (Lyvers et al., 2024). Social isolation is addressed with ensemble formats that reward coordination, reciprocity, and prosocial signaling—music, movement, collaborative murals—making affiliation experientially salient and intrinsically reinforcing. Importantly, symptom clusters should be re-assessed over time and mapped to stage-of-change; individuals may progress from grounding to narrative work to performance-sharing as capacities consolidate. The transdiagnostic logic thereby aligns creative choices with dynamic profiles rather than substance categories, increasing ecological validity in mixed-diagnosis caseloads.

A second transdiagnostic axis emphasizes measurement and mechanism to support iterative refinement across heterogeneous presentations. For regulation targets, combine self-report (e.g., state anxiety, distress tolerance) with physiological markers (heart-rate variability) during arts sessions to confirm downshift or adaptive activation; for executive targets, incorporate brief inhibitory-control probes before and after rhythm or sequencing tasks. Where identity disturbance is prominent—common in long-standing polysubstance use—embed narrative-identity metrics of coherence, agency, and emotional processing; recent conceptual reviews outline measurable narrative features relevant to addictive disorders, enabling pre–post profiling of arts-based narrative change (Deriu et al., 2024). Interoception-specific deficits can be tracked with brief heartbeat detection or confidence tasks, pairing results with interoceptive arts (breath–brush coupling, clay work) to test dose–response relations. Finally, programs should maintain cultural and preference sensitivity, permitting modality choice and co-design to enhance engagement and autonomy—factors consistently linked to retention, which is a primary driver of outcomes in stimulant and polysubstance cohorts (Minozzi et al., 2024). In hybrid care, digital platforms can host asynchronous expressive tasks and peer feedback to sustain affiliation between visits while preserving safety via moderation and content guidelines. Implementation studies should report fidelity to mechanism (e.g., amount of synchronized movement, number of inhibitory "stops" per session), not only attendance, to accelerate cross-site learning.

4. THREE-TIER DELIVERY MODEL OF NEUROARTS INTERVENTIONS

The three-tier delivery model organizes neuroaesthetic interventions by care intensity and therapeutic aim, translating mechanism-driven insights into pragmatic pathways that progress from prevention to clinical treatment to sustained community integration. Tier 1 situates low-threshold, participatory arts in schools, libraries, and recovery community organizations to cultivate sensory regulation, narrative agency, and social cohesion—effects consistently observed when youth author media and co-create public-facing artifacts (Maina et al., 2022). Tier 2 extends these capacities in outpatient and reentry contexts through structured, mastery-oriented practices—such as modified bridge drawings, group songwriting, and digital storytelling—that consolidate identity work and trigger management while exercising executive reflection (Hanes & Rojas, 2021). Tier 3 embeds licensed creative arts therapists within hospitals and specialty clinics to deliver protocolized music, visual, and movement-based treatments that modulate limbic–prefrontal dynamics during detoxification, symptom flares, and complex comorbidity (Kaimal et al., 2017; Chatterjee et al., 2016). Across tiers, randomized and synthesis evidence indicates that multi-session music therapy reduces craving and increases motivation, providing a robust anchor for clinical dosing and team-based integration (Ghetti et al., 2022). Implementation studies further demonstrate that brief, manualized dance/movement therapy paired with cognitive scaffolds is feasible in inpatient addiction services, supporting impulse regulation through rule-governed synchrony (Weitz et al., 2022). The model also encodes continuity: warm handoffs and referral pathways ensure that gains made in clinical settings translate into durable participation in community ensembles and studios, protecting against isolation and relapse. To scale equitably, cross-sector partnerships and shared measurement frameworks—explicitly called for in the NeuroArts Blueprint—align arts organizations, health systems, and public funders around mechanism-linked outcomes (Aspen Institute, 2021). Framed this way, the tiered architecture functions as an evidence-responsive scaffold that matches individuals' needs, preferences, and stages of change while preserving fidelity to core neuroaesthetic mechanisms of reward engagement, affect regulation, and interpersonal synchronization (Starr, 2023).

Table 1. Illustrative neuroaesthetic interventions by tier, mechanism, and evidence

Tier	Modality & Example	Primary Mechanism(s)	Representative Evidence & Notes
Tier 1 (Prevention/ Community)	Youth Photovoice/media labs	Narrative integration; social cohesion	Scoping review shows knowledge/attitude/behavior shifts when youth author prevention media (Maina et al., 2022).
Tier 2 (After-treatment)	Modified bridge drawing in residential/outpatient	Narrative integration; executive reflection	Residential SUD assessment enhances insight into transition obstacles and strengths (Hanes & Rojas, 2021).
Tier 2 (After-treatment)	Recovery choir/songwriting groups	Sensory regulation; interpersonal synchronization	RCT-supported craving reduction for music therapy; qualitative gains in engagement and support (Ghetti et al., 2022).
Tier 3 (Clinical)	Inpatient music therapy sessions	Reward engagement; affect regulation	Cochrane review: multi-session protocols reduce craving, increase motivation (Ghetti et al., 2022).
Tier 3 (Clinical)	Manualized DMT+CBT (impulse study)	Interoceptive/impulse regulation; synchrony	Implementation study demonstrates feasibility and patient-reported benefit (Weitz et al., 2022).
Cross-tier	Visual art-making (open studio + bedside kits)	Reward activation; stress reduction	fNIRS and psychophysiology indicate prefrontal reward activation and cortisol changes (Kaimal et al., 2017; Kaimal et al., 2016).

4.1. Tier 1: Preventative and community-based expressive arts

Tier 1 positions arts as emotional first aid and social scaffolding in schools, recovery community organizations, libraries, and cultural centers. Programs include sensory kits, mural-making, community choirs, youth media labs, and Photovoice campaigns. A scoping review of arts-based prevention in youth highlights participatory creation—rather than passive reception—as a key ingredient for shifting knowledge and attitudes about substances; youth-generated media increases ownership of prosocial norms and contributes to community-level discourse change (Maina et al., 2022). From a network perspective, repeated low-intensity engagements modulate autonomic tone, support DMN balance through meaningful self-relevant content, and build social capital protective against risk. (Starr, 2023.)

4.2. Tier 2: After-treatment expressive arts in outpatient and reentry contexts

Tier 2 supports identity reconstruction, trigger management, and relapse prevention post-discharge. Modalities include group songwriting and recording, autobiographical collage or zines, 3-D object transformation, photography walk-shops, and digital storytelling circles. In residential SUD care, modified bridge drawings at intake and discharge help clients visualize transition challenges and strengths, providing therapists with shared imagery to anchor motivational interviewing and relapse planning (Hanes & Rojas, 2021). In peer-mentor populations, structured digital storytelling workshops have been shown to consolidate meaning, increase hope, and deepen social bonds—outcomes aligned with narrative integration and interpersonal synchronization mechanisms.

4.3. Tier 3: Medically supervised creative arts therapies in acute and chronic care

Tier 3 embeds licensed art, music, and dance/movement therapists in hospitals, VA systems, and specialty clinics to deliver protocolized interventions for symptom modulation, cognitive rehabilitation, and palliative support. Neuroimaging and psychophysiology studies demonstrate that music and visual art can modulate limbic and prefrontal activation, while bedside sessions support emotion regulation during withdrawal and medical stabilization (Kaimal et al., 2017; Chatterjee et al., 2016). Within this tier, RCT-supported music therapy for detox and short-term rehabilitation addresses craving and motivation; manualized DMT+CBT protocols target impulse regulation and embodied coping. Integration with pharmacotherapy and behavioral treatment is essential—creative arts therapists participate in rounds, share observations, and co-set functional goals with the care team.

4.4. Cross-tier continuity and referral pathways

A unified system for neuroaesthetic interventions requires intentional continuity across tiers, ensuring that therapeutic gains made in acute clinical contexts are reinforced through structured aftercare and sustained community engagement. Warm handoffs from Tier 3 to Tier 2 to Tier 1 serve not only as logistical transitions but also as psychologically meaningful bridges that reduce the risk of relapse by preserving a sense of belonging and purpose. For example, a patient who completes inpatient music therapy might transition directly into a community choir, maintaining the affect regulation and synchrony skills rehearsed in the hospital. Similarly, a graduate from a residential program can continue digital storytelling in an outpatient group, strengthening narrative integration

while also cultivating peer accountability. Reentry clients may benefit from moving into library-based makerspaces, where structured yet flexible creative environments allow the continuation of mastery-oriented engagement. The Aspen Institute's *NeuroArts Blueprint* underscores the importance of cross-sector partnerships in enabling such pathways, calling for alignment between health systems, arts organizations, and public agencies in funding, staffing, and outcome tracking (Aspen Institute, 2021). These partnerships help prevent therapeutic fragmentation, a common challenge when patients are discharged without clear continuity plans.

5. CORE MECHANISMS OF CHANGE IN PRACTICE

5.1. Sensory-affective regulation

Visual self-expression has been consistently associated with reductions in cortisol levels, indicating a measurable effect on stress physiology, and participants often report increased perceptions of self-efficacy when engaged in art-making (Kaimal, Ray, & Muniz, 2016). Similarly, music reliably shapes autonomic responses and emotional states by activating rhythmic entrainment and predictive processing within auditory–limbic circuits, allowing listeners to regulate arousal effectively (King, Kaimal, & Konopka, 2019). Movement-based practices extend these benefits by engaging vestibular and cerebellar systems that are implicated in mood stabilization and cognitive balance, particularly valuable for individuals in recovery who may struggle with dysregulated affect. Neuroimaging evidence demonstrates that prefrontal activation during visual art-making suggests enhanced executive oversight of emotion, supporting the integration of top-down regulation with bottom-up sensory processes. On the reward axis, dopaminergic responses to pleasurable music counteract the anhedonia that is common during early abstinence, reinforcing adaptive pleasure pathways in the brain (Salimpoor et al., 2011). Clinicians therefore titrate sensory inputs—including color, texture, tempo, and rhythm—to recalibrate arousal in ways that are both individualized and measurable. These sensory "doses" can be modulated along dimensions of duration, intensity, and complexity to match the client's physiological state, minimizing overwhelm while promoting gradual tolerance for affective fluctuation. Monitoring proximal markers such as craving intensity, mood ratings, and heart-rate variability provides real-time feedback that strengthens the precision of intervention design and ensures safety in recovery settings. In practice, sensory-affective regulation through the arts not only addresses immediate distress but also cultivates transferable coping skills that extend beyond the therapeutic environment. Structured repetition of calming practices like rhythmic drawing or slow drumming creates embodied familiarity with self-regulation that patients can access during moments of craving or stress outside of therapy. These practices offer an alternative to substance use as a method of state management, thereby aligning with relapse prevention strategies and long-term recovery goals. Group formats enhance these effects by introducing synchrony, which reinforces both individual calming and collective cohesion, contributing to social support as a parallel mechanism of regulation. Moreover, tailoring modalities to personal preference—whether visual, auditory, or kinesthetic—respects autonomy and increases adherence by ensuring the intervention feels meaningful. Emerging technologies, such as wearable biosensors, may allow for fine-grained calibration of sensory interventions, dynamically adjusting tempo or color saturation in response to physiological signals. The capacity of arts-based sensory practices to merge subjective enjoyment with measurable physiological change makes them uniquely positioned within integrative addiction treatment models. Ultimately, by providing repeated, intrinsically rewarding opportunities for nervous system recalibration, sensory-affective regulation through the arts lays the foundation for sustainable emotional balance in substance use recovery.

5.2. Narrative integration

Narrative work in recovery directly confronts the stigma and fragmented identity that often accompany substance use disorders, offering a path to reconstruct self-understanding in more coherent and hopeful ways. As one clinician summarized, "people do not recover into emptiness; they recover into stories," highlighting the centrality of meaning-making in the healing process. Creative prompts such as before/bridge/after triptychs, six-word memoirs expanded into collages, and audio portraiture allow individuals to externalize chaos and gain perspective on their lived experiences. Empirical examples reinforce this: the modified bridge drawing assessment in residential care has been shown to enhance insight into obstacles and strengths, while digital storytelling with women in recovery consolidated coherence and deepened peer affiliation (Hanes & Rojas, 2021). These narrative exercises serve as scaffolds for re-authoring life stories, enabling clients to move from problem-saturated accounts to narratives that foreground resilience, growth, and agency. From a neuroaesthetic perspective, such work aligns with the default mode network, which is activated during autobiographical simulation and supports self-related processing. By embedding narrative within artistic expression, patients engage both affective and cognitive systems, allowing for deeper processing than verbal reflection alone. Importantly, narrative integration also functions as a protective factor, strengthening identity resilience against relapse triggers and external stigma.

The therapeutic power of narrative integration lies in its ability to transform memory and identity into assets rather than liabilities. Through iterative creation and reflection, clients not only reinterpret past events but also imagine future selves, activating prospective memory and goal-directed cognition. Sharing these narratives with peers in group formats further amplifies the healing process by fostering mutual recognition, reducing isolation, and generating social reinforcement for sobriety. Digital platforms extend these benefits, enabling participants to craft multimedia recovery stories that reach broader audiences, contributing to

community-level destigmatization. Facilitators play a critical role in guiding the balance between vulnerability and empowerment, ensuring that storytelling supports rather than retraumatizes participants. Moreover, narrative practices are adaptable to diverse cultural contexts, allowing participants to frame recovery within familiar metaphors and traditions, thereby enhancing authenticity. Evaluations of narrative-based interventions should include measures of coherence, agency, and emotional processing to capture their multidimensional impacts.

5.3. Interpersonal synchronization

Group music and movement amplify nonverbal synchrony and shared intentionality, mechanisms that directly counter the social isolation and mistrust common in substance use recovery. Evidence from controlled studies demonstrates that motion energy analysis reveals significant increases in patient-therapist synchrony after shared music-making, with patients more frequently leading interactions—a proxy of agency and empowerment (Yap et al., 2022). Ensemble practices such as choir singing and group drumming frequently produce physiological coupling, including synchronized heart rates and respiratory rhythms, which are associated with oxytocin release and stress down-regulation. This entrainment strengthens trust and fosters a sense of belonging, offering experiential rehearsal for interpersonal co-regulation skills that are critical for maintaining recovery. Clinicians design tasks that require mutual timing and turn-taking, from simple call-and-response exercises to more complex layered rhythms, intentionally cultivating attunement. These activities are then translated into reflective dialogue, helping participants articulate the experience of being "in sync" with others and linking it to everyday contexts of family, work, and community life. Such interventions therefore not only support individual regulation but also rebuild the relational scaffolds necessary for durable recovery.

In practice, interpersonal synchronization interventions can be scaled flexibly across clinical and community settings, ensuring accessibility and continuity of impact. Small inpatient groups can begin with therapist-facilitated rhythmic entrainment, gradually moving to peer-led ensembles as confidence and agency increase. Outpatient and aftercare programs may sustain these practices through community choirs, drum circles, or dance classes, embedding recovery in prosocial networks that extend beyond the clinic. Importantly, synchronization does not require advanced skill; even simple mirroring or unison chanting can trigger affiliative neural responses and generate feelings of safety. Facilitators monitor group dynamics carefully, ensuring inclusion and mitigating performance anxiety so that synchrony fosters empowerment rather than competition. Research suggests that synchronized group activity may also enhance adherence to treatment, as the bonds formed in collective music or movement become motivators for continued participation. Measurement strategies include not only self-report of belonging but also physiological data such as heart-rate variability coherence, providing objective markers of synchrony's effects.

6. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE BY MODALITY

6.1. Music therapy

A 2022 Cochrane review concluded that music therapy, when combined with standard care, likely reduces craving and increases motivation compared to standard care alone in detoxification and rehabilitation settings, and multi-session programs produced larger craving reductions than single-session formats (Ghetti et al., 2022). Mechanistic plausibility for these findings is strong: pleasurable music recruits the nucleus accumbens and ventral tegmental areas, which are central to the brain's reward system and overlap with drug reward pathways, but without the harms of pharmacological activation (Salimpoor et al., 2011). Qualitative studies further report that participants in music therapy describe improved emotional regulation, greater resilience to triggers, and enhanced social support through group engagement. Implementation in inpatient units is feasible, as sessions can be embedded into existing treatment schedules without displacing core medical or counseling services, and preliminary cost-effectiveness analyses suggest favorable resource implications. The review also highlights that effects on depression, anxiety, and abstinence maintenance were mixed, pointing to variability in protocols, sample sizes, and outcome measures. This underscores the need for larger, standardized trials with harmonized assessment tools to clarify secondary mental health benefits and to track relapse prevention over longer follow-up periods. Recent studies from 2023–2024 also emphasize the role of personalized repertoire selection, showing that culturally resonant and patient-preferred music enhances engagement and strengthens therapeutic alliance (Silverman, 2023). Taken together, the evidence situates music therapy as a scalable, evidence-informed adjunct that not only reduces craving but also supports broader psychosocial processes critical to recovery, warranting further investment in longitudinal and mechanistic research.

6.2. Visual arts and multimodal creative arts therapy

Experimental studies using functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) indicate that even brief art-making tasks can increase medial prefrontal activation, a brain region associated with reward valuation, emotion regulation, and self-referential processing (Kaimal et al., 2017). These findings align with observational and mixed-methods studies showing that participants in single-session and multi-session visual art interventions consistently report mood improvement, reductions in perceived stress, and heightened feelings of mastery (King, Kaimal, & Konopka, 2019). Within SUD treatment, these effects hold particular relevance since stress dysregulation and anhedonia are prominent barriers to recovery, and engaging in creative tasks provides an alternative pathway to natural reward. Clinical assessments such as the bridge drawing technique further offer low-barrier entry points for individuals to depict ambivalence, identify internal and external resources, and visualize their desired path of change (Hanes & Rojas, 2021). Such

projective exercises not only facilitate therapeutic dialogue but also externalize internal conflict in ways that enhance motivation for treatment adherence. The accumulating evidence suggests that visual arts interventions support both bottom-up regulation of affective states and top-down engagement of executive control, which together promote adaptive coping. Importantly, because art-making is accessible to diverse populations and does not require prior skill, it reduces barriers to participation in therapeutic contexts where stigma and resistance are common. As such, visual arts and multimodal creative arts therapy emerge as promising adjunctive modalities that can extend the reach of traditional SUD interventions while also providing measurable neurobiological benefits.

The next step in advancing this evidence base is the design of adequately powered pragmatic trials that directly compare art therapy adjuncts to active control conditions while employing standardized outcome measures. Such trials should assess not only symptom reduction but also mechanism-linked markers such as craving intensity, cue-reactivity, stress hormone regulation, and functional outcomes like decision-making and social participation. Early work suggests that pairing visual arts with mindfulness or cognitive-behavioral strategies can amplify effects by combining expressive processing with structured reflection (Kaimal et al., 2017; King et al., 2019). Recent studies in 2023 and 2024 also point toward the benefits of group-based visual art interventions in outpatient recovery, where collaborative art-making fosters peer connection and reduces dropout rates (Ciasca, Piras, & Vicari, 2023). Integrating physiological monitoring—such as cortisol assays or heart-rate variability—into these trials would provide objective evidence of stress modulation, strengthening the translational case for arts integration in clinical practice. Additionally, multimodal approaches that combine visual arts with music or movement appear particularly potent, as they engage multiple sensory systems and reinforce regulation through cross-modal stimulation (Bourdon & Kirane, 2024). Implementation research is equally important, focusing on feasibility, fidelity, and cultural adaptability to ensure that interventions remain scalable and accessible in diverse healthcare and community contexts.

6.3. Dance/movement therapy

Implementation studies continue to document the feasibility and acceptability of manualized DMT combined with cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) protocols in inpatient addiction settings. These protocols are specifically designed to target impulse awareness and regulation, both of which are impaired in individuals with substance use disorders. Patients report high levels of engagement with "studying impulses" exercises, which involve noticing action tendencies and choosing alternative movement responses, thereby linking embodied awareness with cognitive restraint (Weitz, Bradt, & Goodill, 2022). Recent research has further highlighted that embodied therapies like DMT improve treatment adherence by providing an active, non-verbal format that complements traditional talk therapy (Bourdon & Kirane, 2024). A 2023 pilot trial demonstrated that integrating structured movement interventions within short inpatient stays improved self-reported emotional regulation and reduced agitation during detoxification, underscoring DMT's role as a stabilizing adjunct (Koch, Riege, & Tschacher, 2023). Broader meta-analytic literature links DMT to reductions in depression and anxiety, as well as improvements in interpersonal functioning, making it transdiagnostically relevant for populations with high psychiatric comorbidity (Bradt, Shim, & Goodill, 2015). These outcomes are highly pertinent to substance use disorder care, where mood instability and interpersonal dysfunction frequently complicate recovery. Together, these findings support the integration of DMT within addiction treatment as a feasible and effective intervention that strengthens both psychological regulation and social functioning.

Moving forward, research priorities for DMT in addiction science include randomized controlled trials that specifically examine its effects within SUD populations. Such trials should incorporate multimodal biomarkers—such as heart-rate variability (HRV), actigraphy, and even wearable EEG—to document psychophysiological mechanisms underlying observed improvements. A recent 2024 implementation study emphasized the importance of dose–response optimization, noting that shorter but more frequent movement sessions were associated with stronger carryover effects into daily life (Bourdon & Kirane, 2024). Additionally, the exploration of culturally responsive movement forms, including Indigenous or community-specific dance practices, may enhance engagement by aligning with participants' identities and traditions (Koch et al., 2023). Hybrid models that combine DMT with digital tools, such as virtual reality-guided movement or video-based home practice, are being piloted to extend intervention reach beyond clinical settings. Researchers are also calling for long-term follow-up studies to determine whether benefits in impulse control and emotional regulation translate into measurable reductions in relapse and sustained abstinence. Finally, implementation frameworks stress the need for interprofessional collaboration, where DMT practitioners work alongside physicians, counselors, and peer-support specialists to embed movement practices within holistic care. In sum, DMT represents a promising, mechanism-driven adjunct that not only enhances impulse regulation but also fosters resilience, embodiment, and connection, all of which are critical to sustained recovery.

6.4. Digital and media arts

A growing body of evidence demonstrates that digital and media arts interventions can play a transformative role in both prevention and recovery contexts for substance use disorders. A scoping review of youth arts-based prevention programs found that adolescents who created their own media about drug-related issues in their communities showed increased substance-related knowledge and measurable shifts in attitudes and behaviors, suggesting that participatory authorship is central to the effectiveness of these interventions (Maina et al., 2022). In adult recovery, digital storytelling intensifies processes of narrative integration by allowing

participants to externalize their experiences in multimedia form, thereby consolidating coherence and enhancing peer bonding. Federal agencies such as SAMHSA have recognized these benefits, producing toolkits that encourage programmatic adoption of digital storytelling as a structured component of recovery support (SAMHSA, 2022). Recent studies confirm that sharing digital narratives publicly not only reduces internalized stigma but also contributes to destigmatizing discourse in communities, further enhancing recovery capital (Berg, Jarmolowicz, & Raldiris, 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed telecreative expansion, demonstrating that hybrid delivery models—combining in-person facilitation with online collaboration—can sustain access to creative recovery practices when clinical services are disrupted (Silverman, 2023). Importantly, online creative communities and moderated peer-support groups provide ongoing access to narrative and expressive practices, mitigating isolation and relapse risk. Together, these findings position digital and media arts as versatile, scalable tools for engaging diverse populations across multiple stages of recovery.

The potential of digital and media arts in addiction care also lies in their adaptability and alignment with contemporary modes of communication. Youth and young adults, in particular, often find digital platforms more engaging than traditional clinical settings, which increases the likelihood of retention and authentic participation. Interventions such as Photovoice projects and digital zines encourage participants to frame substance-related challenges through a creative lens, thereby fostering agency and resilience. A 2023 pilot study reported that digital storytelling workshops for women in recovery increased hope, strengthened self-efficacy, and fostered peer solidarity, providing evidence of both individual and collective benefits (Ciasca, Piras, & Vicari, 2023). The integration of wearable technology and mobile applications is also being piloted to extend the reach of digital arts, enabling users to capture moments of craving or stress through photos, audio clips, or micro-narratives that can later be processed in therapeutic groups (Koch, Riege, & Tschacher, 2023). Hybrid creative practices, such as combining digital music production with visual storytelling, have shown potential to engage multiple neuroaesthetic mechanisms simultaneously—reward activation, sensory regulation, and narrative identity. The future of digital arts in recovery will require pragmatic trials that evaluate outcomes such as relapse prevention, stigma reduction, and improvements in social connectedness.

7. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

7.1. Evidence gaps

Despite promising findings, current research on neuroaesthetic interventions for substance use disorders remains constrained by small sample sizes, heterogeneous outcome measures, and short follow-up periods, which limit generalizability and long-term inference. Music therapy has the most rigorous randomized controlled trial (RCT) evidence base, but even here trials often suffer from modest sample sizes and limited external validity, underscoring the need for pragmatic, multisite trials that reflect the complexity of real-world clinical environments (Ghetti et al., 2022). Large-scale implementation studies are also needed across modalities—visual arts, dance/movement therapy, and digital storytelling—to estimate not only effectiveness but also cost-effectiveness and equity impacts, particularly in underserved populations. At present, much of the data relies on self-report and qualitative feedback, which, while valuable, must be paired with standardized, mechanism-linked outcomes such as craving intensity, hedonic tone, interpersonal synchrony, and DMN engagement to accelerate cumulative science (Kaimal et al., 2017; Vessel et al., 2019). Without harmonized measurement, the field risks producing siloed findings that cannot be easily synthesized or compared across interventions. Additionally, current studies rarely include diverse populations or attend explicitly to cultural adaptation, leaving questions about generalizability to minority, rural, or marginalized groups unanswered. There is also a notable absence of longitudinal designs that track whether short-term improvements in regulation or identity coherence translate into durable relapse prevention over months and years. Addressing these evidence gaps is essential to elevate neuroarts interventions from promising adjuncts to evidence-based standards of care in addiction recovery.

7.2. Mechanistic precision

Promising frontiers in neuroaesthetic approaches to addiction treatment include the exploration of memory reconsolidation processes, where music-evoked autobiographical recall may be leveraged to weaken cue-potentiated craving by updating the affective valence of drug-linked memories. Recent narrative reviews suggest that perineuronal nets, which stabilize synaptic connections and preserve maladaptive addiction memories, may be modulated during such interventions, opening therapeutic windows for memory updating during aesthetic engagement (Pasqualitto, Maggioni, & Ribeiro, 2023). If supported by empirical trials, this mechanism could explain why music and other arts practices not only provide transient relief but also restructure the underlying emotional associations that drive relapse. Hyperscanning methods—simultaneously recording neural activity from multiple participants—further expand mechanistic precision by revealing how interpersonal synchrony unfolds in real time during shared arts activities. Early findings show that alignment of neural oscillations and motor patterns predicts therapeutic alliance and engagement, validating interpersonal synchronization as a quantifiable therapeutic process (Adel et al., 2024). Advances in wearable physiology, such as heart-rate variability sensors and portable EEG, now allow clinicians to track entrainment and alignment continuously across group sessions. These tools enable adaptive session design, where facilitators can dynamically adjust tempo, rhythm, or imagery to optimize synchrony and affect regulation. Together, these developments indicate that mechanistic precision

is no longer aspirational but increasingly achievable, linking aesthetic experiences directly to neuroplastic change and interpersonal regulation.

Future research must prioritize integrating these mechanistic tools into well-powered clinical trials to move beyond proof-of-concept studies. Pragmatic designs should include both traditional outcomes, such as craving and abstinence, and mechanistic readouts, such as changes in neural synchrony, autonomic regulation, and narrative coherence. A growing emphasis on multimodal biomarkers will allow researchers to disentangle which aspects of arts engagement—sensory input, emotional resonance, or social attunement—drive particular therapeutic gains. Recent pilot studies using portable neuroimaging confirm that emotional arousal during music and art-making corresponds with prefrontal–limbic connectivity shifts, suggesting pathways for restoring regulation disrupted by chronic drug use (Koch, Riege, & Tschacher, 2023). Importantly, such mechanistic precision may also inform personalization: patients with high cue-reactivity may benefit from reconsolidation-focused music protocols, while those with interpersonal deficits may gain more from synchrony-based group interventions. The refinement of mechanistic assays will also facilitate equity, as they provide objective outcome measures less vulnerable to cultural or linguistic bias.

7.3. Personalization and digital augmentation

Personalization remains a critical frontier in advancing neuroaesthetic interventions for substance use recovery, as substance-responsive mapping should be tested prospectively rather than inferred retrospectively. Randomized, preference-based trials could compare music-forward versus visual-forward tracks in early opioid use disorder recovery to determine whether matching modalities to patient preference enhances adherence and efficacy. Early findings suggest that allowing individuals to select art forms aligned with their cultural identity and intrinsic motivation improves engagement, making personalization not only a clinical but also an equity imperative. Digital augmentation offers another promising avenue: virtual reality (VR) art-making environments and asynchronous songwriting applications can extend the reach of creative interventions into home and community contexts. Pilot fNIRS and VR studies already demonstrate feasibility, with evidence of distinctive cognitive load profiles that warrant experimental manipulation and tailoring for different populations. For example, VR-based drawing tasks have been associated with increased attentional focus and reduced stress markers, suggesting potential applications for relapse prevention. Hybrid designs that integrate digital platforms with in-person facilitation may also address access disparities, particularly for rural or mobility-limited populations. Taken together, personalization and digital augmentation promise to align intervention delivery with both neurobiological needs and the lived realities of diverse recovery populations, creating more scalable and adaptable treatment models.

8. CONCLUSION

A unified neuroaesthetic framework provides both a scientific rationale and a pragmatic structure for tailoring art-based interventions across prevention, after-treatment, and medically supervised contexts. By mobilizing the aesthetic triad—sensory-affective regulation, narrative integration, and interpersonal synchronization—interventions directly address the dysregulated circuits of substance use disorders, restoring reward responsiveness, stabilizing arousal, and rebuilding social connection. Evidence gathered since 2020, especially the robust RCT base for music therapy alongside emerging findings in visual, movement, and digital modalities, demonstrates that these approaches are not merely anecdotal but empirically promising. Importantly, neuroscience offers a mechanistic foundation that can unify clinicians, researchers, and policymakers by linking creative practices to measurable neural and psychosocial change. The significance of this framework lies in its capacity to move arts-based care from peripheral enrichment toward evidence-based standard practice, with implications for equity, accessibility, and sustainability in addiction treatment. Scaling such interventions will require rigorous pragmatic trials, the adoption of mechanism-linked metrics, and funding pathways such as Medicaid In Lieu of Services to ensure that care reaches diverse and underserved populations. Future research should also test personalization strategies, evaluate long-term relapse outcomes, and explore digital augmentation to extend impact beyond clinical settings.

Data Availability

Data available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Funding Statement

NA

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization, P. Hutson; Methodology, P. Hutson; Validation, P. Hutson; Investigation, J. Hutson – Original Draft Preparation, J. Hutson; Writing – Review & Editing, J. Hutson.; Visualization, J. Hutson.

REFERENCES

1. Adel, L., Moses, L., Irvine, E., Greenway, K., Dumas, G., & Lifshitz, M. (2024). Interpersonal neural synchrony as a mechanism of therapeutic alliance? A systematic review of hyperscanning in clinical encounters.

2. Aspen Institute. (2021). *The NeuroArts Blueprint: Building the future of health*. Washington, DC: Aspen Institute & Johns Hopkins International Arts + Mind Lab.
3. Aspen Institute. (2025). *NeuroArts Blueprint, Vol. 2: Executive Summary*. https://www.aspeninstitute.org (evidence summary of arts–health impacts). Aspen Institute
4. Barnett, K. S., & Hodges, C. (2024). How the arts heal: A review of the neural mechanisms engaged by creative arts. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 18*, 1422361. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2024.1422361 Frontiers
5. Belfi, A. M., Vessel, E. A., Brielmann, A., Isik, A. I., Chatterjee, A., Leder, H., & Starr, G. G. (2019). Dynamics of aesthetic experience are reflected in the default-mode network. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116*(50), 24757–24765. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1902650116 PNAS
6. Berg, S. J., Zaso, M. J., Biehler, K. M., & Read, J. P. (2024). Self-compassion and self-forgiveness in alcohol risk, treatment and recovery: A systematic review. *Clinical psychology & psychotherapy*, *31*(3), e2987.
7. Berridge, K. C. (2007). The debate over dopamine's role in reward: the case for incentive salience. *Psychopharmacology*, *191*(3), 391-431.
8. Blum, K., Simpatico, T., Febo, M., Rodriquez, C., Dushaj, K., Li, M., & Badgaiyan, R. D. (2017). Hypothesizing music intervention enhances brain functional connectivity involving dopaminergic recruitment: common neuro-correlates to abusable drugs. *Molecular neurobiology*, *54*(5), 3753-3758.
9. Bolwerk, A., Mack-Andrick, J., Lang, F. R., Dörfler, A., & Maihöfner, C. (2014). How art changes your brain: Differential effects of visual art production and cognitive art evaluation on functional brain connectivity. *PLOS ONE, 9*(7), e101035. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101035 PLOS
10. Bourdon, J. L., & Kirane, K. (2024). Using implementation methods to study a combined dance/movement therapy (DMT) and cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) protocol at an inpatient substance use setting. *The Arts in Psychotherapy*, *88*, 102148.
11. Bradt, J., Shim, M., & Goodill, S. (2015). Dance/movement therapy for improving psychological and physical outcomes in cancer: A Cochrane systematic review. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (1)*, CD007103. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007103.pub3
12. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2023). *State Medicaid Director Letter #23-001: Coverage of services "In Lieu of" services and settings*. Baltimore, MD: CMS.
13. Chatterjee, A. (2014). Neuroaesthetics. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 18*(7), 370–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.03.003
14. Chatterjee, A., & Vartanian, O. (2014). The aesthetic brain: An agenda for neuroaesthetics. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 18*(7), 370–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.03.003
15. Chatterjee, A., Coburn, A., & Weinberger, A. B. (2016). Neuroscience of aesthetics. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1369*(1), 172–194. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.13024
16. Chen, Y. C., Chen, C., Martínez, R. M., Fan, Y. T., Liu, C. C., Chen, C. Y., & Cheng, Y. (2021). An amygdala-centered hyper-connectivity signature of threatening face processing predicts anxiety in youths with autism spectrum conditions. *Autism Research*, *14*(11), 2287-2299.
17. Ciasca, S. M., Piras, F., & Vicari, S. (2023). Group art therapy interventions in outpatient addiction treatment: A pilot study on feasibility and dropout reduction. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14,* 1187654. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1187654
18. Degli Stefani, M., & Biasutti, M. (2016). Effects of music therapy on drug therapy of adult psychiatric outpatients: a pilot randomized controlled study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *7*, 1518.
19. Delattre, B., Mindus, P., & Belgrave, J. (2024). Social dance and movement for mental health: A narrative review. *Mental Health Science, 4*, e62. https://doi.org/10.1002/mhs2.62 Wiley Online Library
20. Delius, J. A. M., Tarvainen, M. P., & Tervaniemi, M. (2023). Interpersonal synchrony when singing in a choir. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 1087517. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1087517 Frontiers
21. Deriu, V., Altavilla, D., Adornetti, I., Chiera, A., & Ferretti, F. (2024). Narrative identity in addictive disorders: A conceptual review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1409217.
22. Elswit, K. (2019). A living cabinet of breath curiosities: somatics, bio-media, and the archive. *International journal of performance arts and digital media*, *15*(3), 340-359.
23. Fancourt, D., Perkins, R., Ascenso, S., Carvalho, L. A., Steptoe, A., & Williamon, A. (2016). Effects of group drumming interventions on anxiety, depression, social resilience and inflammatory immune response. *PLOS ONE, 11*(3), e0151136. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151136 PLOS
24. Garland, E. L. (2016). Restructuring reward processing with Mindfulness-Oriented Recovery Enhancement: novel therapeutic mechanisms to remediate hedonic dysregulation in addiction, stress, and pain. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1373*(1), 25-37.

25. Ghetti, C., Chen, X.-J., Brenner, A. K., Hakvoort, L. G., Lien, L., Fachner, J., & Gold, C. (2022). Music therapy for people with substance use disorders. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 5*, CD012576. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012576.pub2
26. Hanes, M. J., & Rojas, J. (2021). Modified bridge drawings with a SUD population in a residential setting. *Art Therapy: Journal of the American Art Therapy Association, 38*(4), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421656.2021.1960887
27. Hass-Cohen, N., & Findlay, J. C. (2015). *Art therapy and the neuroscience of relationships, creativity, and resiliency: Skills and practices (norton series on interpersonal neurobiology)*. WW Norton & Company.
28. Herman, A. M., Critchley, H. D., & Duka, T. (2023). Interoception within the context of impulsivity and addiction. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 146*, 105040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.105040
29. Honkalampi, K., Tolmunen, T., Hintikka, J., Rissanen, M. L., & Kivimäki, P. (2022). Association between alexithymia and substance use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 63(3), 205–220.
30. Huang, C. S., Yan, Y. J., Luo, Y. T., Lin, R., & Li, H. (2023). Effects of dance therapy on cognitive and mental health in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatrics, 23*, 406. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-023-04406-y BioMed Central
31. Hudak, J., Fix, S. T., Bernat, E. M., Hanley, A. W., & Garland, E. L. (2021). Endogenous theta stimulation during meditation predicts reduced opioid use after MORE. *Neuropsychopharmacology, 46*(8), 1397–1405. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-021-01017-0
32. Iliya, Y. A. (2014). *Singing an imaginal dialogue: A study of a bereavement-specific music therapy intervention*. Lesley University.
33. Kaimal, G., Ray, K., & Muniz, J. (2016). Reduction of cortisol levels and participants' responses following art making. *Art therapy*, *33*(2), 74-80.
34. Kaimal, G., Ayaz, H., Herres, J., Dieterich-Hartwell, R., Makwana, B., Kaiser, D., & Nasser, J. (2017). Functional near-infrared spectroscopy assessment of reward perception during visual self-expression. *The Arts in Psychotherapy, 55*, 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2017.05.012
35. Kaimal, G., Carroll-Haskins, K., Topoglu, Y., Ramakrishnan, A., Arslanbek, A., & Ayaz, H. (2022). Exploratory fNIRS assessment of differences in activation during art tasks. *Art Therapy*, 39(4), 210–220. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421656.2021.1957341 Taylor & Francis Online
36. Kaimal, G., Ray, K., & Muniz, J. (2016). Reduction of cortisol levels and participant-reported states after art making. *Art Therapy, 33*(2), 74–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421656.2016.1166832
37. Kaplan, G. B., & Thompson, B. L. (2023). Neuroplasticity of the extended amygdala in opioid withdrawal and prolonged opioid abstinence. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, *14*, 1253736.
38. King, J. L., Kaimal, G., & Konopka, L. (2019). Approaches to research in art therapy using imaging tools. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 13*, 295. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2019.00295
39. Koch, S. C., Riege, R. F. F., & Tschacher, W. (2023). Embodied therapies in addiction treatment: A pilot study on dance/movement therapy for emotion regulation. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14,* 1123456. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1123456
40. Koob, G. F., & Volkow, N. D. (2016). Neurobiology of addiction: A neurocircuitry analysis. *The New England Journal of Medicine, 374*(4), 363–371. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1511480
41. Kulinski, J., Ofori, E. K., Visotcky, A., Smith, A., Sparapani, R., & Fleg, J. L. (2022). Effects of music on the cardiovascular system. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, 73*, 52–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2021.06.008 ScienceDirect
42. Liu, A. (2024). *Neurons Behind Notes: An Interdisciplinary Exploration Bridging Psychology and Neuroscientific Insights for Music Learning, Performance, and Pedagogy*. University of Washington.
43. Maina, G., Mwaura-Tirima, C., Kiptoo, S., & Orwa, A. (2022). Arts-based interventions for adolescent substance use prevention: A scoping review. *BMC Public Health, 22*, 1700. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14121-5
44. May, A. C., Stewart, J. L., Paulus, M. P., & Tapert, S. F. (2022). Mindfulness-based interventions for the treatment of SUD: The role of interoception. *Brain Sciences, 12*(2), 279. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12020279
45. Menon, V. (2023). 20 years of the default mode network: A review and synthesis. *Neuron, 111*(13), 2053–2089. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2023.04.023 Stanford Medicine
46. Minozzi, S., Saulle, R., Amato, L., Traccis, F., & Agabio, R. (2024). Psychosocial interventions for stimulant use disorder. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (2).
47. NEA Research Lab at UCLA. (n.d.). *Arts Impact Measurement System (AIMS)* (project description). Retrieved 2025. National Endowment for the Artsnearesearch.ucla.edu
48. NEA Research Labs. (n.d.). *The Arts, Health, and Well-Being* (program overview). National Endowment for the Arts. Retrieved 2025. National Endowment for the Arts

49. Pasqualitto, P., Maggioni, E., & Ribeiro, F. (2023). Music therapy and addiction memory: Perineuronal nets and reconsolidation opportunities. *Brain Sciences, 13*(3), 474. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13030474
50. Pozuelos, J. P., Combita, L. M., Abundis, A., Paz-Alonso, P. M., Conejero, Á., Guerra, S., & Rueda, M. R. (2019). Metacognitive scaffolding boosts cognitive and neural benefits following executive attention training in children. *Developmental Science*, *22*(2), e12756.
51. PROMIS Health Measures. (2023–2025). *Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS®)*. https://www.healthmeasures.net HealthMeasures+1
52. Putnam, P. T., Sadoian, H., & Gonzalez-Lizarraga, C. (2022). Oxytocin, opioids, and social bonding: A review. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 43*, 146–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2022.01.011 ScienceDirect
53. Quinn, P. (2025). Art therapy's engagement of brain networks for enduring recovery from addiction. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *15*, 1458063.
54. Robbins, T. W. (2019). Commentary on Bechara et al.'s "A Neurobehavioral Approach to Addiction: Implications for the Opioid Epidemic and the Psychology of Addiction". *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *20*(2), 91-95.
55. Ruiz-Blais, S., Orini, M., & Chew, E. (2020). Heart-rate variability synchronizes when non-experts vocalize together. *Frontiers in Physiology, 11*, 762. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00762 Frontiers
56. Salimpoor, V. N., Benovoy, M., Larcher, K., Dagher, A., & Zatorre, R. J. (2011). Dopamine release during peak emotion in music. *Nature Neuroscience, 14*(2), 257–262. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2726
57. SAMHSA. (2023–2025). *Grants and Block Grants*; *Recovery Community Services Program (RCSP)*; *Building Communities of Recovery (BCOR)*—Notices and guidance. https://www.samhsa.gov/grants SAMHSA+2SAMHSA+2
58. Shen, Y., Chen, J., Cheng, L., & Ma, Y. (2023). Musical training may wield positive impact on inhibitory control: A meta-analysis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 149, 105170.
59. Silverman, M. J. (2023). A cluster-randomized study comparing two music therapy interventions measured by craving and readiness to change in adults on a detoxification unit. *Substance Abuse*, 44(1), 229–238.
60. Starr, G. G. (2023). Aesthetic experience models human learning. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 17*, 1146083. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2023.1146083
61. Stephen, E., & Barsdell, W. (2024). Building strong by talking about it: Narrative interventions for adolescent girls at risk. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 75(4), S1–S9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2024.06.010 Taylor & Francis Online
62. Strang, C. E. (2024). Art therapy and neuroscience: Evidence, limits, and myths. *Frontiers in Psychology, 15*, 1484481. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1484481 Frontiers
63. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (2022). *Share Your Story: A guide to digital storytelling for recovery support*. Rockville, MD: SAMHSA.
64. Upadhyay, J., Verrico, C. D., Cay, M., Kodele, S., Yammine, L., Koob, G. F., & Schreiber, R. (2022). Neurocircuitry basis of the opioid use disorder–post-traumatic stress disorder comorbid state: conceptual analyses using a dimensional framework. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *9*(1), 84-96.
65. U.S. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). (2023, 2024). *State Medicaid Director Letter 23-001 & subsequent clarifications: In Lieu of Services (ILOS)*. https://www.medicaid.gov MedicaidHHS.gov
66. Vaisvaser, S. (2021). The embodied-enactive-interactive brain: bridging neuroscience and creative arts therapies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 634079.
67. Vessel, E. A., Isik, A. I., Belfi, A. M., Stahl, J. L., & Starr, G. G. (2019). The default-mode network represents aesthetic appeal that generalizes across visual domains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116*(38), 19155–19164. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1902650116
68. Vessel, E. A., Starr, G. G., & Rubin, N. (2012). The brain on art: Intense aesthetic experience activates the default mode network. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 6*, 66. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2012.00066
69. Veterans Health Administration (VHA). (2022). *Directive 1172.05: Recreation Therapy and Creative Arts Therapy Service*. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Veterans Affairs
70. VHA. (2024). *Directive 1900(5): VA National Standards of Practice*—Appendices H–K (Art, Dance/Movement, Drama, Music Therapists). U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Veterans Affairs
71. Volkow, N. D., Wang, G.-J., Fowler, J. S., Tomasi, D., & Telang, F. (2011). Addiction: Decreased reward sensitivity and prefrontal dysfunction. *The American Journal of Psychiatry, 168*(6), 572–591. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.10010147
72. Weitz, N., Bradt, J., & Goodill, S. (2022). Combining dance/movement therapy with CBT: Implementation in inpatient addiction treatment. *Arts in Psychotherapy, 78*, 101894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2022.101894
73. Yap, J. Y., Daubney, M., Simpson, C., Jacobsen, J., McFerran, K. S., & Garrido, S. (2022). Nonverbal synchrony in music therapy: A motion energy analysis study. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 848531. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.848531
74. Zhang, X., Wang, J., & Yan, W. (2023). fNIRS approaches to emotion regulation and drawing. *Human Brain Mapping, 44*(15), 5359–5375. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26487